

MEMORANDUM

From:	Chief Officer, Environment & Technical	To:	Chief Officer Planning & Regulatory
		FAO::	Jenni Perkins
Our Ref:	ET/DC/PP/P/2023/0598	Your Ref:	P/2023/0598
Date:	17/10/2023		

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

NEWID DEFNYDD ADEILAD O DDEFNYDD AMAETHYDDOL I DDEFNYDD MASNACHOL A'R HOLL WAITH CYSYLLTIEDIG / CHANGE OF USE OF BUILDING FROM AGRICULTURE TO COMMERCIAL USE AND ALL ASSOCIATED WORKS

ISYCOED FARM HOLT ROAD RIDLEYWOOD WREXHAM
Grid Ref: E 340469 N 351499

I refer to your consultation / email dated 29/09/2023 in respect of the above.

Access

The proposed development site is located on the B5130 Holt Road which is a rural, classified road subject to a 40mph speed limit.

Assuming typical speeds of 40mph, any proposed / existing access in this location would normally be required to provide visibility splays of 2.4 x 120m in both directions measured to the nearside edge of the adjoining highway in accordance with TAN 18. However, given the geometry of the road to the south west of the farm, speeds may be lower. The applicant may wish to carry out a speed survey in order to determine 85th percentile speeds along this section.

The site is currently served by 2 accesses as follows:-

Main Access (to North East)

I understand that this access serves the main farmhouse, provides milk tanker access and the majority of vehicle movements associated with the farm.

Visibility from this access is inadequate in the north easterly direction providing a splay of approximately 2.4 x 70m measured to the nearside edge of the adjoining highway. Visibility is impeded by the existing hedgerow.

Visibility in the south westerly direction is adequate providing a splay in excess of 2.4 x 120m measured to the nearside edge of the adjoining highway.

The access is approximately 14m wide reducing to 6.3m wide at 5.3m behind the adjoining carriageway where it is gated. The access is paved with hard bound materials. I would normally recommend that such an access is gated at a minimum distance of 10m behind the adjoining highway or greater if a milk tanker is utilizing the access.

I would not normally be able to support any proposed development that is likely to result in any significant increase in vehicle movements utilizing this sub-standard access.

I assume that this access will continue to serve the remaining / on-going agricultural activity along with any vehicle movements associated with the new commercial units. **Clarification is required on the precise existing and proposed use of this access.**

South Westerly Access

I understand that this access serves as a secondary access providing occasional access to tractors / trailers and movement of cattle. **Clarification is required on the precise existing and proposed use of this access.**

Visibility from this access in the north easterly direction is adequate providing a splay in excess of 2.4 x 120m measured to the nearside edge of the adjoining highway.

Visibility in the south westerly direction is inadequate providing a splay of approximately 2.4 x 75m measured to the nearside edge of the adjoining highway. Visibility is impeded by the existing boundary fence and potentially crosses 3rd party land.

The access is approximately 16m wide reducing to 4.2m wide at 7.6m behind the adjoining carriageway where it is gated. The access is paved with hard bound materials. I would normally recommend that such an access is gated at a minimum distance of 10m behind the adjoining highway for agricultural use.

I would not normally be able to support any proposed development that is likely to result in any significant increase in vehicle movements utilizing this sub-standard access.

Parking & Turning Provision

Adequate parking and turning provision would need to be provided for the proposed commercial units. I understand that the proposed 7 no. units have a gross floor area of 2334m². **I would request the applicant provides details of the precise LPGN 16 Class Use proposed in order to assess parking provision along with the individual floor areas of each unit (A-G).** The submitted plans indicate the provision of 30 spaces in total.

I understand that some existing agricultural activity will continue at the farm. **I would recommend that details of the parking and turning arrangements for this activity is provided / indicated on a revised layout plan.**

I understand that a milk tanker currently visits the site on a daily basis utilizing the existing north easterly access. I understand that the milk tanker reverses off the road into the site due to limited turning space which is not ideal. I would recommend that adequate turning space is provided within the curtilage of the site if this access is to be shared with more intensive commercial units generating increased vehicle movements. **Details of how such a turning facility will be provided should be indicated on a suitably scaled plan.**

I would recommend that details of the maximum size / length of vehicle (HGV) visiting the site is provided along with swept path details of such a vehicle entering / exiting the site in both directions onto Holt Road from the proposed / existing access points.

Traffic Generation

I would normally request details of existing and proposed daily vehicle movements differentiating between the two access points.

The submitted Justification Statement indicates information on vehicle movements but does not equate them all to daily vehicle movements and does not indicate the number of existing / proposed staff. **This detail needs to be provided.** It is not clear what type of businesses are proposed and whether they will attract customers / clients. The vehicle movements indicated for the existing agricultural activity and the proposed Units A – G appear fairly low. I would have thought that any proposed commercial businesses have the potential to attract customers / clients.

Sustainability

The development site is located on a rural, classified road along which there is no footway provision / street lighting. It may be considered that the proposed development site is in an unsustainable location and does not support the opportunity for staff to walk safely to local facilities and public transport stops.

Public Footpath

Public footpath - PROW, Isycoed no. 8 passes alongside the south western boundary of the site and must not be interfered with. I would strongly recommend that the applicant liaises with our Public Rights of Way Section to discuss the proposed development. Please contact Mr. Sean Hanratty on Tel: 01978 729761.

Service and Delivery Management Plan (SDMP)

If supported, it may be considered appropriate to include a condition for a SDMP to control the type/ size / frequency of delivery vehicles to the site. It is not clear what the maximum size of vehicle / HGV will be visiting the site (Units A – G). **This detail needs to be provided** along with details of how such vehicles will turn within the site and enter / exit the site.

Construction Traffic Management Plan (including details of contractor parking)

If supported, in order to construct the proposed scheme, the applicant will need to submit a Construction Traffic Management Plan including details of contractor parking for further approval. This could be included as a planning condition.

Supplementary Notes

The applicant's attention should be drawn to item nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5 of the supplementary notes.

Note to Applicant:

Public Footpath No.8 - Isycoed passes through / alongside the development site and should not be interfered with. I would strongly recommend that the applicant liaises with our Public Rights of Way Section to discuss the proposed development. Please contact Mr. Sean Hanratty on Tel: 01978 729761.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'K. E. Hanratty'.

Chief Officer, Environment & Technical