

Dear Sir/Madam,

I would like to make a representation in connection with the Planning appeal ref. CAS-02639-F8F7J9 Planning application ref. P/2022/0869 for 9 houses on land east of Cadney Lane, Bettisfield.

I am against the proposed planning application for the following reasons and would ask that the appeal is rejected.

1. The proposed development lies outside of the unitary development plan.
2. There are no local amenities in Bettisfield (for example: no shop, no bus services apart from the school bus, no GP surgery or pharmacy, no school, no public house and very limited foot paths only in the centre of the village. The nearest train station is 7 miles away and is only accessible by car. The nearest hospital is 18 miles away and again, is only accessible by car). Therefore I believe the village has sustainability issues which should be taken into account.
3. There is no mains sewage in the village and I believe that the use of individual systems should no longer be approved, especially as this proposed development lies close to low lying ground that floods almost annually. In addition, the water table in this part of the village is frequently only 1m below the ground level during winter.
4. The proposed development site is situated in the corner of a field and lies at the bottom of a shallow hill which frequently causes run off water to accumulate where buildings 1 & 2 are proposed.
5. The existing infrastructure is barely adequate for the existing residences in the village for example the mains water supply has little pressure and frequently is too low to operate showers in houses further down Cadney Lane so the addition of 9 extra family homes will exacerbate the problem.

I hope you will consider all of these aspects and will reject the appeal.

Should you require any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Many thanks

Keith Gregory.

The Conifers, Bettisfield, SY13 2LJ

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]