

# MEMORANDUM



---

|                 |                                        |                  |                                  |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|
| <b>From:</b>    | Chief Officer, Environment & Technical | <b>To:</b>       | Chief Officer Economy & Planning |
|                 |                                        | <b>FAO::</b>     | Jenni Perkins                    |
| <b>Our Ref:</b> | ET/DC/PP/P/2024/0001                   | <b>Your Ref:</b> | P/2024/0001                      |
| <b>Date:</b>    | 13/02/2024                             |                  |                                  |

---

## TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990

**NEWID DEFNYDD ADEILAD O DDOSBARTH B1 (SWYDDFA) I DDOSBARTH A1 (SALON HARDDWCH) / CHANGE OF USE OF BUILDING FROM CLASS B1 (OFFICE) TO CLASS A1 (BEAUTY SALON)**

**BRIDGE HOUSE STATION ROAD ROSSETT WREXHAM**  
**Grid Ref: E 336498 N 357134**

I refer to your consultation / email dated 16/01/2024 in respect of the above.

### Access

The proposed development site is located on Station Road which is an unclassified, residential road subject to a 20mph speed limit.

The existing office appear to have 2 no. existing access points which also appear to provide access to 1 -3 Mews cottages. **Clarification on which access (or both) is typically used would be of benefit.**

### Westerly Access

This access is 3.2m wide and takes the form a dropped kerb vehicular access which is paved with hard bound materials.

Based on typical speeds of 20mph would normally require visibility splays of 2.4 x 25m in both directions measured to the centerline of the road in the easterly direction and to the nearside edge in the westerly direction. Visibility in the easterly direction is adequate providing splays in excess of 2.4 x 25m. However, visibility in the westerly direction is inadequate providing a splay of approximately 2.4 x 6m measured to the nearside edge. Visibility is impeded in this direction in that the required splay would need to cut across 3<sup>rd</sup> party and.

I would not normally wish to support any proposed development that would result in a significant increase in vehicle movements utilising this sub-standard access.

### Easterly Access

This access is 2.7m wide and takes the form a dropped kerb vehicular access which is paved with hard bound materials.

Based on typical speeds of 20mph would normally require visibility splays of 2.4 x 25m in both directions measured to the centerline of the road in the easterly direction and to the nearside edge in the westerly direction. Visibility in the easterly direction is adequate providing splays in excess of 2.4 x 25m. However, visibility in the westerly direction is inadequate providing a splay of approximately 2.4 x 5m measured to the nearside edge. Visibility is impeded in this direction by the existing vegetation along the site frontage.

I would not normally wish to support any proposed development that would result in a significant increase in vehicle movements utilising this sub-standard access.

Neither access point is of sufficient width to serve as a shared access and accommodate the simultaneous passage of 2 vehicles. I would normally recommend a minimum width of 4.8m. However, the access point(s) already serve 3 no .dwellings and the existing office building.

### **Traffic Generation**

The existing cottages and office building will generate a given number of daily vehicle movements. The proposed change of use from offices to beauty salon does attract an increased parking requirement in respect of LPGN 16 guidance. However, no specific details on what access the existing / proposed development have consent to use, how much parking / turning space they have or existing daily / proposed traffic movements appears to have been submitted. **I would recommend that this information is provided along with a suitably detailed layout plan.**

### **Parking & Turning Provision**

Based on an existing / proposed gross floor area of 1156m<sup>2</sup>, the existing Class B1 offices would require a maximum of 5 spaces and the proposed Class A1 Salon would require a maximum of 10 spaces.

No details of the off road parking provision for the existing / proposed businesses including 1 – 3 Mews Cottages appears to have been provided. **I would recommend that this information is provided along with a suitably detailed layout plan.**

There is an existing on-street parking issue along Station Road. There are a limited number of 30 minute waiting bays outside the premises along Station Road. However, these spaces are already frequently used by existing shop owners / customers / members of the public. There is an existing public car park located approximately 90m west of the proposed development site. However, this is in frequent use throughout the day.

Developments of this nature without adequate accessible parking, can affect traffic flow and road safety. The proposed development may have the potential to result in an increased occurrence of indiscriminate parking. Customers are typically tempted to park close to the premises, often jeopardizing the safety of other road users and pedestrians or park in adjacent residential streets thereby inconveniencing residents. However, traffic regulation orders are in place along this section of Station Road which should prevent this occurring.

If the application is supported, it may be considered reasonable to include a condition requiring a **car parking management plan** which could include limiting / staggering customers visiting the site at any one time.

In respect of the recently approved planning application (ref: P/2022/0008) for The Hwb at The Green, Rossett, I comment as follows:-

Previous highway recommendations at the site included the provision of a minimum of 6 spaces (ie/ 55% provision compared with maximum standards) to serve the proposed development where it was recognized that there were existing parking / traffic issues. However, consent was granted at Planning Committee without the need for any off street parking provision whatsoever. It was viewed that 55% parking provision would be acceptable at The Hwb which is only a short distance from the application site on the basis of being in a sustainable location.

A recently granted consent for a restaurant / café at the former Post Office Site (ref: P/2021/0874) on the opposite side of the road was also viewed positively on the basis of the decision made at the The Hwb.

**On this basis and in the light of these recent planning consents, it may be viewed as difficult for the Highway Authority to object to the current application (ref: P/2024/0001) on the grounds of inadequate parking provision should this be the case.**

### **Supplementary Notes**

The applicant's attention should be drawn to item nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5 of the supplementary notes.

### **Highways Development Control Standard Advisory Note**

*In the interests of maintaining highway safety, and to avoid obstruction of the public highway (in contravention of the Highways Act), The Applicant is advised to coordinate with the highway authority at [highwayroadworks@wrexham.gov.uk](mailto:highwayroadworks@wrexham.gov.uk) in advance of commencement of the development/works with respect to:*

- 1. Skip, scaffolding, hoarding or A frame/signage (Permit required for these items, if on the public highway);*
- 2. Use of a parking space for a set down/delivery area;*
- 3. Record a dilapidation survey of the adjacent carriageway prior to commencement (to avoid being ask to repair damage by the Applicant whilst carrying out their works);*
- 4. If any lane closure or obstruction of the highway is required for a crane or similar;*
- 5. Protection against dust and debris blowing onto the public highway*
- 6. Construction traffic parking locally avoiding nuisance to others;*
- 7. If the Applicant's scope of work includes new apparatus in the highway, such as new mains services then a Section 50 licence will be required. Insurances and demonstration of competency to carry out works in the public highway will be required.*
- 8. Lowering of kerbs or minor pavement alterations require Section 184 agreement. Insurances and demonstration of competency to carry out works in the public highway will be required.*
- 9. A section 278 agreement works agreement is required for more significant alterations to the existing highway*
- 10. Any temporary works affecting traffic lights, [pedestrian/cycle road crossings, or bus stops require pre-planning and permits;*
- 11. For applications with a private driveway (either existing or proposed) connecting onto the public highway, the following conditions of approval apply:*
  - No surface water from the applicants site should be allowed to drain onto the public highway,*
  - The first 5m\* minimum (for residential property) should be hard paved, avoiding gravel or loose chippings form spreading.*

- *No gate should be built in a location where it would result in a car obstructing the highway whilst being unlocked, and it should not swing out and over a pavement or any other part of the highway.*

*\*The hard paved length and set back if required is subject to the applicants proposed vehicular length*

*The applicants should allow a minimum of 4 weeks' notice for any of the above standard requirements, and 6-8 weeks for lane closures & road closures (lead times may change without notice subject to workload and complexity). Any works involving Trunk roads should be coordinated separately and directly with the North & Mid Wales trunk Road Agency. For larger sites with a significant impact upon the highway, a Construction Traffic Management Plan will be required.*

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'K. Jones', is positioned above the title.

Chief Officer, Environment & Technical