




Land at Wynnstay Technology Park

P/2019/0805

Noise Impact Assessment

8th June 2021

First Issue





Land at Wynnstay Technology Park

P/2019/0805

Noise Impact Assessment

8th June 2021

First Issue

Revision History

Version	Comments	Author	Date
First Issue	First issued version of the report	Chris Parker-Jones Director and Acoustic Consultant BSc (Hons) MSc MIOA	8th June 2021

ParkerJones Acoustics Limited

Bristol

11 Bankside Road
Brislington
Bristol
BS4 4LB

London

29 Lincoln's Inn Fields
Holborn
London
WC2A 3EE

Glasgow

126 West Regent Street
Glasgow
G2 2RQ

+44 (0)800 830 3338

+44 (0)117 914 6558

info@parkerjonesacoustics.com

www.parkerjonesacoustics.com

Registered in England and Wales

Company No. 12235614

This document has been prepared using all reasonable skill and care. ParkerJones Acoustics Limited (PJA) accepts no liability or responsibility for any third-party data present in this report. The copyright and intellectual property in all drawings, reports, specifications, bills of quantities, calculations and other information set out in this report remain vested in PJA unless the terms of appointment with the Client state otherwise. PJA shall not be liable for the use of or reliance on any information, advice, recommendations, and opinions in this document for any purpose by any person other than the Client. Reliance may be granted to a third party only if PJA and the third party have executed a reliance agreement or collateral warranty.

Executive Summary and Conclusions

This document, a Noise Impact Assessment (NIA), has been written to assess the site suitability in terms of the noise impact from the residual noise environment on the amenity of the future occupants of the proposed residential development at vacant land off of Wynnstey Technology Park in Ruabon, Wrexham, LL14 6EN.

In summary, PJA believes that the noise impact on future occupants at the development can be controlled to an acceptably **low** level equivalent to the LOAEL (Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level) defined in National Planning Policy, without unreasonable mitigation measures that would significantly impact residential amenity. External noise levels are not seen to be excessively high in this location to prevent development. In the context of the wider area, there are already many existing residential properties next to the site and next to the roads which constitute the main sources of noise.

Assessing against the criteria of Tan 11, the large majority of the site would fall under Noise Exposure Category **A** – *"Noise need not be considered as a determining factor in granting planning permission, although the noise level at the high end of the category should not be regarded as desirable"* during the daytime, and Category **B** (where *"noise should be taken into account when determining planning applications and, where appropriate, conditions imposed to ensure an adequate level of protection"*) at night.

IANL targets can be met with closed windows and standard double glazing, with trickle ventilators used to provide background ventilation as an alternative to open windows. Glazing and trickle ventilators should meet the sound reduction indices outlined in **Table 5.1/Figure 5.1** of **Section 5.5**. The requirements represent a low level of acoustic performance which would be met by most products. This also does not mean windows are not openable – most people will wish to open windows despite slightly elevated noise levels internally, and external noise levels are not excessively high to mean that that opening the windows would be unacceptable. In many areas, internal noise targets *would* be met with open windows, or at least met if a 5 dB relaxation were applied (for 'reasonable' conditions).

As per **Section 5.4**, external amenity noise levels are below or within the recommended 50 – 55 dB $L_{Aeq,16hr}$ upper range for the external amenity spaces to be enjoyed as intended – it is seen that all plots have garden areas with noise levels of <55 dB $L_{Aeq,16hr}$, just under this limit of the northern boundary of the site (the plots closest to the A-road), but with most of the site experiencing levels of 40 – 50 dB.

Therefore, PJA, as suitably qualified acoustic consultants, recommends that noise should not pose a constraint to achieving planning permission for the proposed development, on the basis that the applicant follows the recommendations herein.

Contents

Executive Summary and Conclusions	3
1.0 Introduction.....	5
1.1 Scope of Report.....	5
1.2 Assessment Criteria	5
2.0 Site and Development Description.....	6
3.0 Relevant Guidelines	8
3.1 Technical Advice Note 11 (TAN 11).....	8
3.2 WHO Guidelines.....	9
3.3 BS 8233:2014	9
3.4 The Professional Practice Guidance on Planning and Noise (ProPG).....	9
4.0 Noise Survey	11
4.1 Methodology.....	11
4.2 Results	12
5.0 Assessment	17
5.1 Methodology.....	17
5.2 External Noise Levels	17
5.3 TAN 11 – Initial Assessment.....	19
5.4 Outdoor Amenity Noise Levels.....	19
5.5 External Noise Ingress	19
5.6 Summary	23
Appendix A – Acoustic Terminology and Concepts.....	24
Appendix B – Noise Survey Details	25
Appendix C – Noise Mapping Data from DEFRA.....	27
Appendix D – Noise Mapping	28
Appendix E – Example Façade Constructions.....	40

1.0 Introduction

ParkerJones Acoustics Limited (PJA) has been instructed to undertake a Noise Impact Assessment for the proposed erection of 43 residential dwellings with associated parking at land off Wynnstay Technology Park in Ruabon, Wrexham, LL14 6EN.

1.1 Scope of Report

This document, a Noise Impact Assessment (NIA), has been written to assess the site suitability in terms of the noise impact from the residual noise environment on the amenity of the future occupants of the proposed development.

The report has been written specifically in response to planning condition no. 10 of the decision notice of the approved permission issued by Wrexham County Borough Council (application reference P/2019/0805).

The objective is to ensure that the noise impact is being considered and controlled sufficiently to provide suitable living and sleeping conditions for those residing inside. Therefore, where considered necessary, mitigation measures have been suggested to ensure that identified impacts are minimised.

Whilst every attempt has been made to ensure that this report communicates effectively to a reader who might not have much knowledge of acoustics, some parts are necessarily technical. A glossary of acoustic terminology and concepts is provided in **Appendix A**.

1.2 Assessment Criteria

This report takes national planning policies into consideration including the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and the Planning Practice Guidance on Noise (PPG-N), which outline the purpose and long-term vision of planning policy with respect to noise. More specifically, the assessment has been undertaken with reference to:

- TAN 11 - 'Technical Advice Notes (Wales) 11, Noise – October 1997';
- the Professional Practice Guidance on Planning and Noise (ProPG) (2017);
- BS 8233:2014 *Guidance on sound insulation and noise reduction for buildings*; and
- The World Health Organisation (WHO) 'Guidelines for Community Noise' (1999) and 'Night Noise Guidelines for Europe' (2009) documents.

2.0 Site and Development Description

The application site is located at land off Wynnstay Technology Park in Ruabon, Wrexham, LL14 6EN.

The application site is a brownfield one, which has been vacant for several years and has been subject to fly-tipping and antisocial behaviour. As seen in **Figure 2.1**, the site is bounded by hedges and trees to north, east, and west. The A539 (Llangollen Road) is to the north, with a small road on the western boundary connecting the A539 to the B5605 much further south. To the east and south are existing industrial units, with current tenants including and cable/wire manufacturer and an egg factory. The access roads to these units run along the southern and parts of the eastern boundary. Further to the west is the Plas Madoc housing estate. **Figure 2.1** also shows the location of the baseline survey monitoring positions (discussed in **Section 4.0**).

The proposals (as part of a full planning application, ref: P/2019/0805) are for the erection of 43 affordable dwellings with associated parking, with a mixture of one, two, and three-bedroom houses and bungalows.

The site was previously granted outline planning permission in 2018 (P/2016/0735) for 6 light industrial units (just south of the application site boundary in question), 1 large retail unit, and 35 residential dwellings. It is assumed that the proposals for light industrial units may still be taken forward and therefore these are shown on the site plans, as seen in **Figure 2.2**.

Figure 2.1 – Aerial view of the site and surrounding area




Figure 2.2 – Proposed site plan



3.0 Relevant Guidelines

3.1 Technical Advice Note 11 (TAN 11)

'Technical Advice Notes (Wales) 11, Noise – October 1997' gives guidance on the control of noise to sensitive developments which may be affected by noise and vice versa.

This subsequently states that '*Noise Exposure Categories (NECs) have been derived to assist local planning authorities in their consideration of planning applications for residential development near transport related noise sources.*'

'When assessing a proposal for residential development near a source of noise, local planning authorities should determine into which of the four noise exposure categories (NECs) the proposed site falls, taking account of both day and night-time noise levels. Local planning authorities should then have regard to the advice in the appropriate NEC.'

The NEC (Noise Exposure Categories) are summarised within **Table 3.1** and **Table 3.2**, indicating levels for road traffic, as this is considered to be the dominant source in this case.

Table 3.1 – TAN 11 noise exposure categories

NEC	Action
A	Noise need not be considered as a determining factor in granting planning permission, although the noise level at the high end of the category should not be regarded as desirable.
B	Noise should be taken into account when determining planning applications and, where appropriate, conditions imposed to ensure an adequate level of protection.
C	Planning permission should not normally be granted. Where it is considered that permission should be given, for example, because there are no alternative quieter sites available, conditions should be imposed to ensure a commensurate level of protection against noise.
D	Planning permission should normally be refused.

Table 3.2 - Noise exposure categories for new dwellings near existing noise sources

Noise Levels corresponding to the Noise Exposure Categories for New Dwellings $L_{Aeq,T}$ dB				
Period	Noise Exposure Category (NEC)			
	A	B	C	D
Daytime (07:00 – 23:00)	<55	55-63	63-72	>72
Night-time (23:00 – 07:00)	<45	45-57	57-66	>66

3.2 WHO Guidelines

The WHO document *Guidelines for Community Noise 1999* ('GCN') sets out guidance as to noise levels at which there will be an unacceptable impact on the occupants of residential developments.

For steady continuous noise, the GCN recommends an indoor guideline value for bedrooms of 30 dB $L_{Aeq,8hr}$ and 45 dB L_{AFmax} for a single sound event to prevent sleep disturbance.

Regarding external noise, the GCN states:

- To protect the majority of people from being seriously annoyed during the daytime, the sound pressure level on balconies, terraces and outdoor living areas should not exceed 55 dB L_{Aeq} for a steady, continuous noise.
- To protect the majority of people from being moderately annoyed during the daytime, the outdoor sound pressure level should not exceed 50 dB L_{Aeq} .

3.3 BS 8233:2014

BS 8233:2014 '*Guidance on Sound Insulation and Noise Reduction for Buildings*' suggests appropriate criteria and limits for different situations. It is primarily intended to guide the design of new buildings, or refurbished buildings undergoing a change of use.

This includes internal and external noise criteria for residential developments. The limits with BS 8233:2014 are similar to those in the WHO's '*Guidelines for Community Noise*' (1999) and '*Night Noise Guidelines for Europe*' (2009) documents.

The more recently published ProPG document combines the recommendations of these two guidelines, as discussed in the following section.

Annex G.1 of BS 8233:2014 suggests that "*if partially open windows were relied upon for background ventilation, the insulation would be reduced to approximately 15 dB*".

3.4 The Professional Practice Guidance on Planning and Noise (ProPG)

The ProPG, published in 2017, extends on the guidance and numerical targets within BS 8233:2014 and WHO guidelines, new and extended recommendations where these standards are considered to fall short. Therefore, it is considered to be the most relevant and up to date design standard for assessing the noise impact on new residential developments.

3.4.1 Internal Ambient Noise Levels

The ProPG provides internal ambient noise level targets based upon BS 8233:2014 and WHO guidelines, as shown in the table below. The targets are essentially the same as BS 8233:2014 guidelines but with the addition of an $L_{Amax,F}$ target overnight based on WHO guidelines.

The ProPG indicates that the guidance can be relaxed by up to 5 dB (as does BS 8233:2014) where development is considered necessary or desirable, despite high external noise levels.

Table 3.3 – The ProPG internal ambient noise level (IANL) upper limits

Activity	Location	Daytime (07:00 – 23:00)	Night-time (23:00 – 07:00)
Resting	Living Room	35 dB $L_{Aeq,16hr}$	-
Dining	Dining Room/Area	40 dB $L_{Aeq,16hr}$	-
Sleeping (daytime resting)	Bedroom	35 dB $L_{Aeq,16hr}$	30 dB $L_{Aeq,8hr}$ 45 dB $L_{Amax,F}$ ¹
¹ a threshold by which 'good acoustic design' is achieved by not exceeding this threshold more than 10 times a night			

Whilst it is desirable to achieve the recommended IANLs with windows open, an assessment can be made with closed windows and open ventilators (i.e. trickle vents) which provide "*whole dwelling ventilation*" (as defined by Building Regulations Approved Document F).

Closed windows do not mean sealed shut/un-openable windows, as occupants would favour the ability to open the windows (especially during the hotter months of the year) even if the resultant internal acoustic conditions aren't as satisfactory.

3.4.2 Outdoor Amenity Noise Levels

The ProPG also provides guidance for outdoor amenity noise levels based upon WHO and BS 8233:2014 guidelines. This applies to gardens, balconies, roof terraces, and patio areas.

If outdoor amenity spaces are an intrinsic part of the overall design, the acoustic environment of those spaces should be considered so that they can be enjoyed as intended. Noise levels should ideally not be above the range **50 – 55 dB $L_{Aeq,16hr}$** .

It does, however, accept that this can't be achieved in all situations, in which the development should be designed to achieve the lowest practicable noise levels in these outdoor amenity spaces. The impact can partially be offset by the residents having access to a:

- relatively quiet alternative outdoor amenity space as part of the property, group of residential properties, or a public space in the nearby area; and/or
- a relatively quiet facade (containing openable windows to habitable rooms) or a relatively quiet externally ventilated space (i.e. an enclosed balcony) as part of their dwelling.

4.0 Noise Survey

4.1 Methodology

PJA has attended the site and surrounding area to conduct an environmental noise survey between Wednesday 2nd and Thursday 3rd of June 2021. The results of the survey have been used to quantify the typical residual noise levels that would be incident on the site and subsequently the proposed development.

Several monitoring positions were employed including two 'long-term' positions (close to 24 hours) and four 'short-term positions' (30-minutes). The positions are denoted in **Figure 2.1** in **Section 2.0**.

All of the monitoring positions were at ground floor level, at least 1.5m above ground and away from any vertical reflective surfaces. The sound level meters were set to log noise levels over continuous 5-minute averaging periods with a 1-second time history rate. The shorter-term measurements were conducted with a 2nd sound level meter – using synchronised time periods to the 1st sound level meter (position L1), with the surveyor in attendance.

The following noise indices were recorded (amongst others):

- **L_{Aeq,T}** : The A-weighted equivalent continuous noise level over the measurement period T. This parameter is typically considered as a good representation of the average ambient sound level;
- **L_{AFmax,T}** : The maximum A-weighted noise level during the measurement period T and the best representation of short high noise levels 'events' – i.e. emergency services sirens;
- **L_{A90,T}** : The A-weighted noise level that is exceeded for 90% of the measurement period T. This parameter is often considered as the 'average minimum level' and is therefore used in determining the representative background noise level – or noise levels from continuous noise sources such as plant; and
- **L_{A10,T}** : The A-weighted noise level that is exceeded for 10% of the measurement period T. This parameter is often considered as the 'average maximum level' and a good representation of traffic noise contributions.

Appendix C contains further information on the methodology of the survey, including photographs taken from site; the equipment used; and the weather conditions.

4.2 Results

The noise climate at the site is primarily from road traffic along the A539 which runs to the north of the site. Road traffic along smaller local roads is audible to a lesser extent, including from the B5606 to the south. HGV's in and out of the industrial facilities at the technology park is also occasionally observed (though were not particularly frequent during the survey), and staff vehicle noise occurs around shift start/end times, including early in the morning. There appears to be minimal noise break out from the industrial buildings, with the loading areas located on the south side of the egg factory, fairly well screened from the site. The results suggest there is no dominant/consistent hum of plant noise from the facilities.


4.2.1 L1 – North Boundary

A graph of the measured noise levels across the entire monitoring period at position L1 is given in **Figure 4.1** overleaf, with a summary provided in **Table 4.1** below. Noise levels follow a typical diurnal pattern associated with road traffic.

Table 4.1 – Summary of measured noise levels at position L1

Period	Logarithmic Average $L_{Aeq,T}$ (dB)	10 th Highest $L_{AFmax,5min}$ (dB)	Modal $L_{A90,5min}$ (dB)	Median $L_{A10,5min}$ (dB)
Daytime (07:00 – 23:00) T = 16-hours				
Wednesday 2nd June 11:35 – Thursday 3rd June 11:25	55	75	50	57
Night-time (23:00 – 07:00) T = 8-hours				
Wednesday 2nd June / Thursday 3rd June; 23:00 - 07:00	49	68	32	49

Figure 4.1 – Graph of measured noise levels at position L1




4.2.2 L2 – East Boundary

A graph of the measured noise levels across the entire monitoring period at position L2 is given in **Figure 4.2** overleaf, with a summary provided in **Table 4.2** below. Noise levels follow a typical diurnal pattern associated with road traffic.

Table 4.2 – Summary of measured noise levels at position L2

Period	Logarithmic Average $L_{Aeq,T}$ (dB)	10 th Highest $L_{AFmax,5min}$ (dB)	Modal $L_{A90,5min}$ (dB)	Median $L_{A10,5min}$ (dB)
Daytime (07:00 – 23:00) T = 16-hours				
Wednesday 2nd June 14:35 – Thursday 3rd June 10:55	49	69	45	50
Night-time (23:00 – 07:00) T = 8-hours				
Wednesday 2nd June / Thursday 3rd June; 23:00 - 07:00	47	66	31	42

Figure 4.2 – Graph of measured noise levels at position L2



4.2.3 Short-Term Positions (S1, S2, S3, S4)

The recorded values from the shorter 30-minute attended monitoring positions are shown in **Table 4.3** below. These short-term positions have been used to quantify the variance/spread in noise levels across the site and used to 'calibrate' the results of the noise model.

Table 4.3 – Summary of measured noise levels at the short-term monitoring positions

Position	Start Time (on 2 nd June)	Levels at Spot Position		Position	Start Time (on 2 nd June)	Levels at Spot Position	
		L _{Aeq,5min} (dB)	L _{AFmax,5min} (dB)			L _{Aeq,5min} (dB)	L _{AFmax,5min} (dB)
S1	11:55	50	68	S2	12:25	49	63
	12:00	46	56		12:30	50	58
	12:05	46	57		12:35	50	56
	12:10	47	60		12:40	52	62
	12:15	48	62		12:45	51	62
	12:20	49	66		12:50	50	60
	Mean Average	48	62		Mean Average	50	60
S3	13:00	50	60	S4	13:35	64	74
	13:05	49	60		13:40	64	73
	13:10	50	63		13:45	64	74
	13:15	50	59		13:50	64	73
	13:20	49	59		13:55	63	75
	13:25	49	59		14:00	64	75
	Mean Average	50	60		Mean Average	64	74

5.0 Assessment

5.1 Methodology

This section details the impact the existing noise environment would have on the proposed development, including the implications this has on the ventilation strategy and the construction types required within the façade to reduce external noise ingress to an acceptable level for future occupants.

The following summarises the main steps of action in the assessment method:

- Firstly, the existing ambient noise environment at the site is assessed with the support of an environmental noise survey (Section 4.0).
- A 3D noise map model is constructed of the existing site and 'calibrated' to closely match the results of the noise survey;
- The model is updated to include the proposed development and therefore accurately predict external noise levels outside of all facades and in external amenity areas;
- Noise levels in external amenity areas are assessed in line with the ProPG, BS 8233:2014 and WHO targets;
- Noise ingress into living spaces is then assessed against the IANL limits of the ProPG (and local planning policy), to determine the sound reduction requirements of the building envelope and determine whether background ventilation can be provided by open windows, trickle ventilators, or mechanical ventilation (to meet IANL limits);
- An assessment against the criteria of TAN 11 is also made.

5.2 External Noise Levels

A noise model/map for the existing site and proposed development has been constructed using the CadnaA® software package, a commonly used 3-D noise modelling software that implements a wide range of national and international standards, guidelines and calculation algorithms, including those set out in ISO 9613-2:1996.

The intention of noise modelling/mapping for this assessment is to accurately determine the noise levels across the entire site, in each garden, and at each façade and each floor of the building(s) associated with the proposed development. This is considered more accurate than simply applying the results from the discrete noise monitoring positions to the whole development, as the different elevations have varying levels of exposure to noise.

The model is based upon the results of the environmental noise survey, by placing receptor points at the survey monitoring positions and adjusting the model parameters to match these results as closely as possible, in terms of the highest $L_{Aeq,16hrs}$ and $L_{Aeq,8hrs}$, and the 10th highest night-time $L_{AFmax,5min}$ values. Therefore, effectively the noise map for the existing site is 'calibrated'.

Data has also been drawn from noise mapping data for major transport routes available online from the Department for Environment Food & Rural Affairs (DEFRA), as part of a *Strategic Noise Mapping* exercise, which includes data for the A-road which affects the site. Hence this has been used to effectively help validate the results of the survey.

Industrial noise has been modelled based upon operational noise measurements taken at other sites and a worst-case scenario:

- Internal noise levels within the buildings of the technology park (including the 6 which were part of the previously approved plans at the site and may still yet be built) of a worst-case 1-hour noise level of 80 dB $L_{Aeq,1hr}$. This is based on the Lower Exposure Action Value (LEAV) of 80 dB from *The Control of Noise at Work Regulations 2005*¹ – the point at which hearing protection should be supplied but worn at the employee's own discretion. Sound reduction indices of 30 dB R_w are assumed for walls and roofs (appearing to lightweight metal cladding), and 10 dB for an open door around the loading bays of the egg factory;
- HGVs driving in/out of the technology park. These have been placed as moving points in several areas with a total of 12 movements per hour at 15 km/h, with a sound power level of 98 dB(A).

Again, this is further used to validate the results of the survey and heighten the accuracy of the noise model.

The proposed site has then been added. The model has been used run to predict the façade exposure levels at 1m outside of all the residential windows across the proposed development for each floor in terms of the $L_{Aeq,16hr}$ for the daytime and the $L_{Aeq,8hr}$ and L_{AFmax} for the night-time periods respectively, and to predict the $L_{Aeq,16hrs}$ in external amenity areas.

Further information on the configuration of the noise model and screenshots/results from it are provided in **Appendix D**.

The model has first been set up to reflect the noise climate at the existing site:

- **Figure D.1** – shows 3D views of the model setup for the existing site;
- **Figure D.2** – shows the spread of noise in terms of the daytime ambient noise level $L_{Aeq,16hr}$;
- **Figure D.3** – shows the night-time $L_{Aeq,8hr}$;

The proposed development has then been added to the model:

- **Figure D.4** – shows 3D views of the model setup for the proposed development;
- **Figure D.5** – shows the daytime ambient noise level $L_{Aeq,16hr}$ in external amenity areas;
- **Figures D.6 and D.7** – show the predicted façade exposure levels outside the ground floor and upper floors windows respectively in terms of the daytime $L_{Aeq,16hr}$;
- **Figures D.8 and D.9** – show the predicted façade exposure levels outside the ground floor and upper floors windows respectively in terms of the night-time $L_{Aeq,8hr}$.
- **Figures D.10 and D.11** – shows the façade exposure levels outside the ground floor and upper floors windows respectively in terms of the 10th highest maximum noise level L_{AFmax} at night.

1 - *The Control of Noise at Work Regulations 2005*¹ provides guidance in addition to the general duties set out in the 'Health and Safety at Work etc Act 1974', both produced and enforced by the Health and Safety Executive

5.3 TAN 11 – Initial Assessment

Figures D.2 and D.3 in Appendix D show the daytime $L_{Aeq,16hr}$ and night-time $L_{Aeq,8hr}$ levels across the existing site.

Comparing the levels in these plots to the criteria in Section 3.1 that the large majority of the site sits within Noise Exposure Category A (where “noise need not be considered as a determining factor in granting planning permission, although the noise level at the high end of the category should not be regarded as desirable”) during the daytime, and Category B (where “noise should be taken into account when determining planning applications and, where appropriate, conditions imposed to ensure an adequate level of protection”) at night.

5.4 Outdoor Amenity Noise Levels

WHO Guidelines and the ProPG imply that noise levels in outdoor amenity spaces should ideally not be above the range **50 – 55 dB $L_{Aeq,16hr}$** for the spaces to be enjoyed as intended.

Figure D.5 in Appendix D shows the predicted daytime levels in the gardens of the development. It is seen that all plots have garden areas with noise levels of **<55 dB $L_{Aeq,16hr}$** , just under this limit of the northern boundary of the site (the plots closest to the A-road), but with most of site experiencing levels of **40 – 50 dB**.

PJA do not believe that any further mitigation would therefore be required and that the external noise levels are at an acceptable level for the enjoyment of the back gardens. The model has accounted for standard 2m high garden fencing/walling being installed as per the development plans.

5.5 External Noise Ingress

5.5.1 Internal Ambient Noise Levels

The criteria for internal ambient noise levels (IANLs) are based upon guidance from BS 8233:2014 and the ProPG. In summary, the proposed development should seek to control IANLs from external noise sources to no greater than:

- Daytime – 35 dB $L_{Aeq,16hr}$; and
- Night-time – 30 dB $L_{Aeq,8hr}$ and 45 dB L_{AFmax} (no more than 10 times per night).

The criteria apply to bedrooms, the most sensitive room type. The daytime target applies to living rooms (no night-time target is applied to living rooms). A 5 dB relaxation to the daytime target is applied to dining rooms/kitchens.

5.5.2 Building Envelope

To assess the required sound reduction performance for the building envelope, façade exposure levels have been defined as shown in **Figure 5.1**. These are based upon the window-by-window external noise levels determined from the noise modelling – shown in **Figures D.6 to D.11** of **Appendix D**.

Figure 5.1 – Façade exposure levels




Table 5.1 defines the external façade noise levels for each exposure level in **Figure 5.1**.

The weakest elements of a façade in terms of sound reduction are the windows/glazing. This is particularly true when windows are open (as an open window will typically provide around a 15 dB reduction). Alternative forms of natural background ventilation (such as trickle vents) are also a weak point but can be treated to achieve a much higher level of sound reduction than an open window – so that IANL targets *can* be met with natural ventilation in areas where the targets would be exceeded when opening the windows. Alternatively, mechanical ventilation could be implemented.

In this case, it is seen that IANL targets are unlikely to be met with open windows on **Exposure 1**. IANL targets for 'reasonable' conditions (with a 5 dB relaxation) may be met with open windows on much of **Exposure 2**. The same can be said for **Exposure 3**, with many areas meeting IANL targets for 'good' conditions with open windows in the centre of the site/away from the A-road and industrial facilities.

It is not uncommon for external noise levels to mean that internal targets are exceeded with open windows. It should also not be assumed that windows need to be sealed shut, as many occupants will favour the ability to open their windows at will, particularly during the hotter months of the year, and external noise levels are certainly not excessively high to prevent doing so.

Therefore, **Table 5.1** provides the minimum sound reduction indices for glazing and ventilators (the weakest elements acoustically) on each elevation. The sound reduction indices in most areas are driven by the L_{AFmax} noise levels overnight – short discrete noise events which produce higher maximum noise levels (i.e. a motorbike passing on the A-road, early morning traffic into the industrial facilities).

The requirements are not seen to be particularly high, and a non-exhaustive list of example glazing constructions and ventilator products such as trickle vents and air bricks have been provided in **Appendix D**, which are capable of achieving the required $R_w + C_{tr}$ and $D_{n,e,w} + C_{tr}$ indices.

Many of the ratings are similar hence it may be simpler to specify the same glazing and trickle ventilator product throughout the whole development, given that the requirements on **Exposure 1** are not substantially higher than the other exposure levels.

PJA recommend that glazing which has two panes of glass that are the same thickness are avoided where possible unless the manufacturer has test data that proves its performance can meet the required $R_w + C_{tr}$ – as these can resonate together and are usually worse performing than two panes of different thicknesses, even if the second pane is slightly thinner than the first. This is especially true with triple glazing.

Table 5.1 – Minimum sound reduction requirements of the building envelope

Exposure Category	Room Type	External Noise Level @ 1m outside of a window			Minimum sound reduction indices/construction examples	
		Daytime average (07:00 – 23:00) dB L _{Aeq,16hr}	Night-time average (23:00 – 07:00) dB L _{Aeq,8hr}	Night-time maximum ¹ (23:00 – 07:00) dB L _{AFmax}	Glazing ² See Appendix D.1	Ventilators ³ see Appendix D.2
Exposure 1	Bedrooms	58 - 61	52 - 55	71 - 74	29 dB R _w + C _{tr} Standard double glazing, i.e. - 4mm standard float - 12mm air cavity - 6mm standard float	32 dB D _{n,e,w} + C _{tr} i.e. Duco DucoTop 60 SR – Medio AK+
	Internal Limit	<35	<30	<45	-	-
	Living Rooms Dining Rooms Kitchens	58 - 61	N/A	N/A	26 dB R _w + C _{tr} Any glazing	29 dB D _{n,e,w} + C _{tr} i.e. Duco DucoTop 60 SR – Alto AK
	Internal Limit	<35	-	-	-	-
Exposure 2	Bedrooms	55 – 57	48 - 51	67 - 70	25 dB R _w + C _{tr} Any glazing	28 dB D _{n,e,w} + C _{tr} i.e. Duco DucoTop 60 SR – Corto AK+
	Internal Limit	<35	<30	<45	-	-
	Living Rooms Dining Rooms Kitchens	55 - 57	N/A	N/A	22 dB R _w + C _{tr} Any glazing	25 dB D _{n,e,w} + C _{tr} Any ventilator
	Internal Limit	<35	-	-	-	-

Exposure Category	Room Type	External Noise Level @ 1m outside of a window			Minimum sound reduction indices/construction examples	
		Daytime average (07:00 – 23:00) dB L _{Aeq,16hr}	Night-time average (23:00 – 07:00) dB L _{Aeq,8hr}	Night-time maximum ¹ (23:00 – 07:00) dB L _{AFmax}	Glazing ² See Appendix D.1	Ventilators ³ see Appendix D.2
Exposure 3	Bedrooms	41 - 56	37 - 47	56 - 66	21 dB R _w + C _{tr} Any glazing	24 dB D _{n,e,w} + C _{tr} Any ventilator ⁴
	Internal Limit	<35	<30	<45	-	-

1. 10th highest value per night.

2. A non-exhaustive list of suitable glazing products is given in **Appendix D.1**. Standard double glazing will usually achieve a minimum sound reduction of 26 dB R_w + C_{tr}.

3. A non-exhaustive list of suitable ventilator products is given in **Appendix D.2**. The acoustic performance should meet these values when the vent is open. They may not be required if the development uses mechanical ventilation. Low performance trickle vents will usually achieve a minimum sound reduction of 25 dB D_{n,e,w} + C_{tr}.

4. IANL limits with 5 dB relaxation for 'reasonable' conditions met with open windows in most areas. All IANL limits met for 'good' conditions also met in many central areas of the site.

5.6 Summary

In summary, PJA believes that the noise impact on future occupants at the proposed development can be controlled to an acceptable level. External noise levels are not seen to be excessively high in this location to prevent development. In the context of the wider area, there are already many existing residential properties near the site and next to the roads which constitute the main sources of noise.

Assessing against the criteria of Tan 11, the large majority of the site would fall under Noise Exposure Category **A** – “Noise need not be considered as a determining factor in granting planning permission, although the noise level at the high end of the category should not be regarded as desirable” during the daytime, and Category **B** (where “noise should be taken into account when determining planning applications and, where appropriate, conditions imposed to ensure an adequate level of protection”) at night.

IANL targets can be met with closed windows and standard double glazing, with trickle ventilators used to provide background ventilation as an alternative to open windows. Glazing and trickle ventilators should meet the sound reduction indices outlined in **Table 5.1/Figure 5.1** of **Section 5.5**. The requirements represent a low level of acoustic performance which would be met by most products. This also does not mean windows are not openable – most people will wish to open windows despite slightly elevated noise levels internally, and external noise levels are not excessively high to mean that that opening the windows would be unacceptable. In many areas, internal noise targets *would* be met with open windows, or at least met if a 5 dB relaxation were applied (for ‘reasonable’ conditions).

As per **Section 5.4**, external amenity noise levels are below or within the recommended 50 – 55 dB L_{Aeq,16hr} upper range for the external amenity spaces to be enjoyed as intended – it is seen that all plots have garden areas with noise levels of <55 dB L_{Aeq,16hr}, just under this limit of the northern boundary of the site (the plots closest to the A-road), but with most of the site experiencing levels of 40 – 50 dB.

Appendix A – Acoustic Terminology and Concepts

A.1 – Glossary

Table A.1 – Glossary of acoustic terminology

Term	Description
dB (decibel)	The scale on which sound pressure level is expressed. It is defined as 20 times the logarithm of the ratio of the root-mean-square pressure of the sound and a reference pressure (2×10^{-5} Pa).
dB(A)	A-weighted decibel. This is a measure of the overall level of sound across the audible spectrum with a frequency weighting (i.e. 'A' weighting) to compensate for the varying sensitivity of the human ear to sound at different frequencies.
C_{tr}	A weighting curve applied to level differences to account for low-frequency noise, typically associated with traffic noise. This is often applied as an addition to $D_{nT,w}$ and R_w ratings used to describe levels of sound insulation.
Frequency	Sound is generally assessed over the frequency range of 63 Hz to 4000 Hz (4 kHz), although humans can potentially hear between 20 Hz and 20 kHz. Frequency is often divided into ('first') octave bands for analysis, with the range above considered within 7-octave bands with centre frequencies at 63 Hz, 125 Hz, 250 Hz, 1 kHz, 2 kHz and 4 kHz. 'Third' octave bands split this further into smaller frequency bands.
$L_{Aeq,T}$	L_{Aeq} is defined as the notional steady sound level which, over a stated period of time, would contain the same amount of acoustical energy as the A-weighted fluctuating sound measured over that period. This parameter is typically considered as a good representation of the 'average' overall noise level. It is referred to technically as the A-weighted equivalent continuous sound level and is a dB(A) as defined above.
$L_{A90,T}$	The A-weighted noise level that is exceeded for 90% of the measurement period T. This parameter is often considered as the 'average minimum level'.
$L_{AFmax,T}$	The maximum A-weighted noise level during the measurement period T.
R_w	Weighted sound reduction index. A single number rating of the sound insulation performance of a specific building element. R_w is measured in a laboratory. R_w is commonly used by manufacturers to describe the sound insulation performance of building elements such as plasterboard and concrete.

A.2 – Subjective Changes in Sound Level

Table A.2 – Subjective loudness from an increase or decrease in sound pressure level

Change in sound pressure level	Relative change in sound power energy (multiplier)		Change in apparent subjective loudness (for mid-frequency range)
	Decrease	Increase	
3 dB	1/2	2	'Just perceptible'
5 dB	1/3	3	'Clearly noticeable'
10 dB	1/10	10	'Half or twice as loud'
20 dB	1/100	100	'Much quieter, or louder'

Appendix B – Noise Survey Details

B.1 – Survey Equipment

The monitoring equipment used for the baseline noise survey is detailed in the table below. The sound level meter was calibrated before and after the survey, with no significant drifts of greater than 0.5 dB observed. The sound level meter has been calibrated to a traceable standard within the 24 months preceding the survey, and the calibrators have been calibrated to a traceable standard within the 12 months preceding the survey. The equipment complies with the standards of as BS EN 60942:2003 Class 1 device.


Table B.1 – Equipment used for the noise survey

Name	Serial Number	Last Calibrated	Calibration Due
SVAN 949 Class 1 Sound Level Meter	9720	Oct-19	Oct-21
SV22 Class 1 Microphone	4012386	Oct-19	Oct-21
SVAN 949 Class 1 Sound Level Meter	9719	Oct-19	Oct-21
SV22 Class 1 Microphone	4011862	Oct-19	Oct-21
Pulsar 105 Acoustic Calibrator	53698	Oct-20	Oct-21

B.2 – Meteorological Conditions


During the survey, weather conditions were dry and warm with wind speeds to a maximum of 6 ms⁻¹. The microphone was fitted with a weather protection kit/windshield. These weather conditions are suitable for the measurement of environmental noise in accordance with BS 7445 'Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise'. However, the weather over the last 24 hours of the survey was strongly affected by gale-force winds and rain, and hence the results from this period have not been considered in the assessment. The weather data below has been sourced from <https://www.timeanddate.com/weather/@2633485/historic?month=6&year=2021>.

Figure B.1 – Meteorological conditions during the survey



B.3 – Photographs

Figure B.2 – Photographs of the noise monitoring positions




Appendix C – Noise Mapping Data from DEFRA

Noise mapping data for major transport routes have been published online² by DEFRA. The website says the following:

"This publication explains which noise sources were included in 2017 strategic noise mapping process. It provides summary maps for major road and rail sources and provides links to the detailed Geographic Information Systems (GIS) noise datasets. This data will help transport authorities to better identify and prioritise relevant local action on noise. It will also be useful for planners, academics and others working to assess noise and its impacts."

The noise maps below show the DEFRA noise emission data for the site from the A539.

Figure D.1 – DEFRA noise maps for the A539



2 - <http://www.extrium.co.uk/walesnoiseviewer.html>

Appendix D – Noise Mapping

The noise predictions within this report have been undertaken using the proprietary software CadnaA® by DataKustik, a 3-D noise mapping package which implements a wide range of national and international standards, guidelines and calculation algorithms, including those set out in ISO 9613-2:1996.

The noise model accounts for the topography of the land based on data available from the Ordnance Survey. All of the objects within the model (buildings, roads, barriers, foliage, etc) have been imported from OpenStreetMap. The heights of the buildings and roads have been based upon Google Earth Pro, using the 3D view to be able to measure the elevation heights at the tops of objects, and then inserting this manually into the model. Lastly, the scaled site plan, floor plan, and elevation for the proposed development have been accounted for in the model.

The noise model has been used to predict the resulting daytime (16-hour) and night-time (8-hour) L_{Aeq} and 10th highest L_{AFmax} noise levels across the site.

The noise map model has assumed:

- downwind propagation, i.e. a wind direction that assists the propagation of sound from source to receptor, as a worst-case.
- a ground absorption factor of 0 on roads, buildings, and tarmacked areas, and 0.5 elsewhere;
- a maximum reflection factor of three where buildings and barriers are assumed to have a 'smooth' reflective façade, as a worst-case;
- façade receptor points representing the worst-case floor – placed at 0.05m from the façade (and not accounting for the sound reflection off that façade);
- receptor heights of 1.5m when assessing noise in external amenity spaces/grid height of 1.5m for the noise contour plots;
- receptor points in the plots of the existing site based on the position and height of the survey positions;
- atmospheric sound absorption based upon a temperature of 10°C and a humidity level of 70%, as per Table 2 of ISO 9613-2:1996.

The images on the following pages contain the results of the mapping.

D.1 – Existing Site

Figure D.1 – Views of the model setup – existing site



Figure D.2 – Existing site - Predicted dB $L_{Aeq,16hr}$ external ambient noise levels – Daytime (07:00 – 23:00)





Figure D.3 – Existing site - Predicted dB $L_{Aeq,8hr}$ external ambient noise levels – Night-time (23:00 – 07:00)



D.2 – Proposed Development

Figure D.4 – Views of the model setup – proposed development



Figure D.5 – Proposed development - Predicted $L_{Aeq,16hr}$ in outdoor amenity areas – Daytime (07:00 – 23:00)



Figure D.6 – Proposed development - Predicted façade dB $L_{Aeq,16hr}$ exposure levels – Daytime (07:00 – 23:00) – Ground floor



Figure D.7 – Proposed development - Predicted façade dB $L_{Aeq,16hr}$ exposure levels – Daytime (07:00 – 23:00) – First floor




Figure D.8 – Proposed development - Predicted façade dB $L_{Aeq,8hr}$ exposure levels – Night-time (23:00 – 07:00) – Ground floor



Figure D.9 – Proposed development - Predicted façade dB $L_{Aeq,8hr}$ exposure levels – Night-time (23:00 – 07:00) – First floor





Figure D.11 – Proposed development - Predicted façade dB $L_{A_{\text{max}}}$ exposure levels – Night-time (23:00 – 07:00) – First floor



Appendix E – Example Façade Constructions

E.1 - Glazing

Table E.1 – Example glazing constructions and associated sound reduction indices

Single / Double / Triple	Configuration	Manufacturer	R _w + C _{tr} (dB)
Double	4 (12) 6	Saint Gobain	29
Double	5 (12) 4	Saint Gobain	29
Double	4 (16) 8	Saint Gobain	30
Double	4 (12) 6.8P	Pilkington	30
Double	4 (10) 10	Saint Gobain	31
Double	6 (25) 4	Saint Gobain	31
Double	8 (18) 6	Saint Gobain	32
Double	8.8L (12) 8.8P	Pilkington	32
Double	4 (6) 10	Saint Gobain	33
Triple	4 (12) 4 (12) 8.4S	Saint Gobain	33
Double	4 (16) 8.8P	Pilkington	33
Double	10 (15) 6	Saint Gobain	34
Double	8 (6) 8.8S	Saint Gobain	34
Double	6 (16) 8.8P	Pilkington	34
Double	10 (6) 8.8S	Saint Gobain	35
Double	6 (24) 10	Saint Gobain	35
Double	6 (12) 9.5A	Saint Gobain	35
Triple	8 (12) 4 (12) 8.8P	Pilkington	35
Double	8 (12) 8.8A	Saint Gobain	36
Double	10 (12) 8.8A	Saint Gobain	37
Double	8.4A (16) 10.4A	Saint Gobain	38
Double	8.8P (16) 12.8P	Pilkington	39
Double	10 (16) 12.4A	Saint Gobain	40
Double	12.8A (15) 12.8A	Saint Gobain	41
Double	9.1P (20) 13.1P	Pilkington	42
Double	9.1P (20) 17.1P	Pilkington	43
Double	16.8A (15) 16.8A	Saint Gobain	44
Double	9.1P (20Arg) 17.1P	Pilkington	44
NOTATION A = Stadip Silence S = Stadip P = Optiphon L = Optilam Arg = Argon Cavity			
Further data at https://techhub.uk.saint-gobain-building-glass.com/acousticcalculator			

E.2 - Ventilators

For each additional ventilator, the required $D_{n,e,w} + C_{tr}$ should be increased by $10\log(n)$, where 'n' is the number of ventilators. The $D_{n,e,w} + C_{tr}$ must be assessed in the **open position**.

Table E.2 – Example ventilator products and associated sound reduction indices

Product	$D_{n,e,w} + C_{tr}$ (dB)
Duco DucoTop 60 SR (over window frame) – Corto STD	25
Duco DucoTop 60 SR (over window frame) – Corto AK	26
Duco DucoTop 60 SR (over window frame) – Grando STD	27
Duco DucoTop 60 SR (over window frame) – Corto AK+	28
Duco DucoTop 60 SR (over window frame) – Alto AK	30
Duco DucoTop 60 SR (over window frame) – Basso AK+	30
Titon Invent	30
Duco DucoTop 60 SR (over window frame) – Grando AK	31
Titon Hit & Miss HM5050	31
Duco DucoTop 60 SR (over window frame) – Medio AK+	32
Duco DucoStrip Slimline	32
Duco GlasMax – Air slot 20mm	32
Ryttons R2700 Window trickle ventilator (412mm wide)	33
Titon SF 3300 EA Vent	33
Greenwood Slotvent 3000S	33
Duco GlasMax – Air slot 10mm	34
Greenwood 2000D	35
Duco DucoTop 60 SR (over window frame) – Largo AK+	35
Duco DucoMax Corto 15	36
Duco DucoTop 60 SR (over window frame) – Grando AK+	37
Duco DucoMax Medio 25	37
Duco DucoMax Alto 25	38
Titon SF Xtra Sound Attenuator	39
Willan Fresh 100dB	40
Greenwood Airvac Acoustic Air Brick AAB-4000	40
Duco DucoMax Corto 10	41
Duco DucoMax Medio 15	42
Greenwood EHA574	42
Duco DucoMax Alto 15	43
Duco DucoMax Alto 10	45



ParkerJones Acoustics Limited

Bristol

11 Bankside Road
Brislington
Bristol
BS4 4LB

London

29 Lincoln's Inn Fields
Holborn
London
WC2A 3EE

Glasgow

126 West Regent Street
Glasgow
G2 2RQ

+44 (0)800 830 3338

+44 (0)117 914 6558

info@parkerjonesacoustics.com

www.parkerjonesacoustics.com

Registered in England and Wales

Company No. 12235614