

**AnnS Jones**

---

**From:** Matthew Phillips  
**Sent:** 31 May 2016 12:50  
**To:** planning\_admin  
**Subject:** FW: Manley Road

Public Protection response to P/2016/0357

---

**From:** Angela Guy  
**Sent:** 31 May 2016 12:22  
**To:** Matthew Phillips  
**Subject:** RE: Manley Road

Matthew

Thanks, it is me looking at this one. I think Mike is confusing the conditions a little, it is condition 8 that would be discharged on receipt of a satisfactory validation report.

I was not aware that half the garden was being removed for engineering purposes as this was not mentioned in the Remediation Strategy (section 9 of the report). The report states the sand and gravel is to be tested in 3 locations on the exposed formation (i.e. once the made ground is removed to expose natural soils). Based on the response provided below it appears that it is still intended for this work is to proceed and the additional testing of the topsoil/made ground is also to take place. I was not specifying the additional top soil testing was required, it was a suggestion for consideration should they wish to refine the risk assessment and remediation requirements since it may be established that less made ground needs to be removed.

Clarification on whether the original proposed remediation strategy is to remain should be provided, and if this is so what the purpose of the additional top soil testing is. Once this is fully clarified then condition 7 could be discharged as we will be clear on what is being undertaken.

Regards Angela

---

**From:** Matthew Phillips  
**Sent:** 31 May 2016 09:17  
**To:** Angela Guy  
**Subject:** FW: Manley Road

Angela,

This is in response to the Public Protection comments on application P/2016/0357. I cant remember if it is you who looked at this one. However their response doesn't seem to get us any further. On the basis of your previous comments, we need the additional information before we can approved the submissions made in respect of condition 07 of permission P/2014/0585. Is that correct?

Regards

Matthew

---

**From:** Dafydd Edwards [<mailto:dafydd@blueprintarchitectural.com>]  
**Sent:** 26 May 2016 09:39  
**To:** Matthew Phillips  
**Subject:** Fw: Manley Road

Matthew,

Please see response from Mike Scott with regards to the comment raised from the contaminated land officer.

Kind regards

Dafydd Edwards ACIOB  
Senior Architectural Technician  
For Blueprint Architectural Services  
Tel: 01978 356500  
email: [Dafydd@blueprintarchitectural.com](mailto:Dafydd@blueprintarchitectural.com)

**From:** [Mike Scott](#)  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 26, 2016 8:28 AM  
**To:** [Dafydd Edwards](#)  
**Subject:** Manley Road

Hi Dafydd,

We have reviewed the comments from WCBC and comment as follows:

Condition 7.0 cannot be discharged until the validation works have been completed.

Since we have recommended extra work to test the underlying sand we can take further samples for lead testing of the topsoil.

However, when writing the report, we considered this option, since half of the garden has to be removed for engineering reasons, this only left a small amount of additional material that needed to be removed.

The work to date has been carried out by hand excavation due to access. The best time to undertake the further testing is during demolition, when there is a machine on site.

Hope this helps.

Regards

Mike

Mike Scott  
[GroundSolve Ltd](#)

**ON 1<sup>ST</sup> JUNE 2015 WE ARE RELOCATING TO UNIT 1 WELL HOUSE BARN**

*Consulting Geotechnical, Engineering Geology and Environmental Engineers*  
Web: [www.groundsolve.co.uk](http://www.groundsolve.co.uk)  
Unit 1 Charter Court, Well House Barns, Chester Road, Bretton, Flintshire, CH4 0DH  
✉: [mikescott@groundsolve.com](mailto:mikescott@groundsolve.com) / [debbiescott@groundsolve.com](mailto:debbiescott@groundsolve.com)  
☎ 01244661361 / 07977218184