

The Planning Inspectorate

Further information on us and the planning appeal system can be found on our website www.planning-inspectorate.gov.uk



COMMENTS ON CASE

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable for it. This can be found in the notification letter attached. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender. Your comments can also be made on-line at www.planningportal.gov.

This form will be published on the Planning Portal, please do NOT sign it. This is to ensure that all personal data is protected in line with the requirements of the Data Protection Act 1998.

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Case Reference:

Welsh Assembly Reference ✓
Address of site

Postcode
(if known)

SENDER DETAILS

Name

Acting On Behalf Of
(leave blank if writing on
your own behalf)

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?
(Neighbour, Councillor, Owner, Tenant)

What kind of representation are you making?

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

Please provide your representation below.

Representation made as a Neighbour
Representation is against the appeal proposals

Dear Sir/Madam,

Appeal reference: H6955/A/13/2200637
Land South of Boundary House, Horseman's Green Road, Horseman's Green,
Wrexham

I wish to object to the Planning Appeal on the grounds of the adverse environmental impact, the size and unsuitability for their intended purpose which the proposed buildings would have. They would be in an area of characteristic views and would destroy the open countryside aspect.

I request my letter dated 22nd April 2013 objecting to the Planning Application P/2013/0219 be considered again together with the four photographs and supporting letter from Wrexham Equine Care Veterinary Practice.

I would make two additional points.

- 1) The Appeal to the Welsh Ministers (ref APP/H6955/A/12/2184288/WF) regarding the Planning Application P/2012/0380 was dismissed. One reason given was because of the increase in overall size of the buildings and the area of ground which would be occupied by building development. The current appeal concerns a building footprint 24.4% larger again over that of the previous rejected appeal.
- 2) There is no evidence that the proposed buildings would in any way aid the economy of the area, particularly since for the original accepted application (P/2007/0291) there was a stipulation that the buildings were strictly for the private use of the owner of the land and may not be used as a riding school or for business purposes.

May I please have a copy of the appeal decision