

Pamela Joseph

From: Matthew Phillips
Sent: 25 January 2012 14:04
To: planning_admin
Subject: FW: P/2011/0860

Highway comments to the above.

From: Phil Palmer
Sent: 20 January 2012 15:47
To: Matthew Phillips
Subject: RE: P/2011/0860

Matthew

The 3 no. dwellings could be served off a single shared access (4.8m wide) providing adequate parking and turning is provided. In order to provide the required splays, the hedge would need to be lowered / maintained at a max. height of 1.0m above the adjoining road level.

However, I have recommended the construction of a 2.0m wide footway along the site frontage as the existing footway is only 1.1m wide. I suppose this could be widened to around 1.5m if the hedge has to be retained providing there is no issue with the roots of the hedge.

Phil

From: Matthew Phillips
Sent: 19 January 2012 14:13
To: Phil Palmer
Subject: P/2011/0860

Phil,

Is there any reason why the above development together with the dwelling proposed in the church could not be served off a single access?

The removal of significant sections of hedge is an issue because it is an ancient hedge. The ideal situation would be for there to be a single shared access for all 3 dwellings which would limit the loss of hedge but also limit the amount of hedge that needs to be reduced in height to provide the splays. The shared access proposed on the plans already submitted is 4.8m and would serve 2 dwellings.

In addition, would it be acceptable for the splays to be provided by keeping the hedge maintained at 1m high rather than complete removal?

Regards

Matthew