

RECEIVED

21 OCT 2011

THE PLANNING
INSPECTORATE
JNS 3

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE (continued)

- Grounds For Appeal not to be successful
- 1 MR ROBERTS NEVER ADVERTISED THE TTM-4-CAPAL TO MAKE IT SUCCESSFUL.
 - 2 MR ROBERTS NEVER SENT OUT LOYALTY CARDS.
 - 3 MR ROBERTS AND PEOPLE WHO WERE RUNNING THE PUB NEVER WENT OUT OF THEIR WAY TO RUN THE PUB AT A PROFIT, SOME DAYS IT WOULD OPEN OTHERS IT WOULD NOT BE.
 - 4 I SUGGEST THE PEOPLE WHO RUN THE PUB WITH MR ROBERTS KNOWLEDGE OR NOT HAD IN MIND FOR A LONG TIME THAT THEY WOULD CHANGE THE USE WITHOUT CONSULTING WHATEVER HAPPENED TO THE COMMUNITY.
 - 5 MR ROBERTS IS SUPPOSED TO HAVE PUT THE PUBLIC HOUSE UP FOR SALE FOR A REASONABLE AMOUNT I WOULD SUGGEST HE HAS PUT IT ON THE MARKET AT A AMOUNT THAT THE TTM-4-CAPAL WOULD NOT GET SOLD.
 - 6 ALSO ON APPROACHING THE ESTATE AGENTS THEY ARE RELUCTANT TO MEET ANYBODY TO VIEW THE BUSINESS THERE IS NO INTEREST REGARDING ANY IDEAS TO BE LEFT IN THE PUB, THERE ARE NO BOOKS KEPT ON PROFIT OR LOSS.
 - 7 IT SEEMS TO ME THAT IF THIS APPEAL IS SUCCESSFUL THE COMMUNITY ARE THE ONLY THING THAT LOOSE, WE HAVE NOT GOT A SHOP, POST OFFICE, COMMUNITY CENTRE, NOW WE WILL NOT HAVE A PUB, THE LAST MEETING PLACE FOR THE COMMUNITY.

18/10/2011.