

Planning Inspectorate

Further information on us and the planning appeal system can be found on our website www.planning-inspectorate.gov.uk

RECEIVED
(For official use only)
(Date received)

21 DEC 2010

THE PLANNING
INSPECTORATE
PINS 3

COMMENTS ON CASE

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable for it. This can be found in the notification letter attached. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender. Your comments can also be made on-line at www.planningportal.gov.

This form will be published on the Planning Portal, please do NOT sign it. This is to ensure that all personal data is protected in line with the requirements of the Data Protection Act 1998.

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Case Reference: P 2010 0133

Address of site

EISTEDD FARM, ALLT EISTEDD, LLYNFRYD
WREXHAM.

Postcode
(if known) ? LL11

SENDER DETAILS

Name MR. DAVID ALLAN JACKSON

Acting On Behalf Of
(leave blank if writing on
your own behalf)

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?
(Neighbour, Councillor, Owner, Tenant)

NEIGHBOUR.

What kind of representation are you making?

WRITTEN.

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE (continued)

My concerns of,

- ① Potential NEW BOUNDARY LINE,
- ② BUILDING beyond VILLAGE BOUNDARY,
- ③ TRAFFIC INCREASE (DOMESTIC),
- ④ TRAFFIC INCREASE (AGRICULTURAL),
- ⑤ TRAFFIC INCREASE (BUILDING + DELIVERIES),
- ⑥ DANGEROUS CORNER,
- ⑦ Development of surrounding land for HOLIDAY ACTIVITIES (ie shooting),
can still stand.

Further to this, and regarding this, the lane itself has been resurfaced for domestic use, but this has not cured the drainage problem on the corner and the standing water which is now ice.

The current activity around the quarry, although minimal, has led to a significant increase in traffic on the lane. The lane itself, a single track, has a 'humped back' hill between the proposed development and my own property - making the lane 'blind' to traffic going either way and therefore extremely dangerous to road users and pedestrians (no footpaths either). The possibility of holiday makers using the lane frequently, on a daily basis, only increases our anxiety with regard to our families' safety. Especially our two children (ages nine and eleven) who are beginning to use the lane (and the access lane at the top of our property, which leads to the park and bus stop) more frequently.

The dangers and worries concerning the lane at present (and the possibilities of future) have been highlighted graphically in the past few weeks with property being stolen from driveways including a neighbour's two pet dogs (thankfully recovered a few days later by the dog warden). Whilst obviously nothing to do with this case, these instances do show our vulnerability in a quiet and peaceful village, on a once very infrequently travelled lane.

I do not wish to object to any development that creates new housing or brings new families to the area on a permanent basis, but this proposal (as with the appellants previous application) suggests much more than just another occupied residence.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter,

[Redacted Signature]