

The Planning Inspectorate
Crown Buildings
Cathays Park
Cardiff
CF10 3NQ

3 The Stables
Bowling Bank
Wrexham,
LL13 9QF

5th March 2010

Dear Sir / Madam

Section 78 Appeal at: Part Field East of Sun Lane, Bowling Bank, Wrexham
Planning Ref: P/2009/0449 WAG Ref: H6955/A/10/2122444

Further to our original letter of objection forwarded to Wrexham Planning Department in regard to the above planning application, we would wish to make some additional comments which we believe should be considered by The Planning Inspectorate when making their decision about this Appeal. The Planning Application was refused on the following grounds:

1. Highways leading to the site are generally single track rural lanes with no pedestrian facilities and completely unsuitable for proposed size and number of vehicles. To allow the development would have an adverse affect on highway and pedestrian safety and amenity of local residents.
2. The area is one of extremely low background noise and the Council is not satisfied that 24 hour operations can be carried out without having an adverse impact upon the amenity of residents in the area. The development would thus be contrary to policy GDP1 of the Wrexham Unity Development Plan.

We believe these issues continue to be of significant concern. In addition to the above, we would also draw your attention to the following:

- The Planning Committee in making their decision to refuse the application also highlighted during their deliberations that the lanes leading to and from the proposed site have not been "made up" to a standard that would withstand the type and volume of additional traffic that this application would require.
- Residents are already concerned about the significant deterioration in the road surface particularly in Fishers Lane, due to in part the recent poor weather but also compounded by an increase in heavy goods traffic coming to and from the chicken sheds located further along the lanes.
- We are also concerned about the level of light pollution from the proposed site. We have seen for ourselves the level of light generating from one of Composite Energy's drilling operations in Cheshire and believe that anything similar in this area would be unacceptable, particularly given that we have no street lights at all in this area.

We would ask The Planning Inspectorate to consider these serious concerns which we still consider make any Composite Energy proposals unacceptable, and the additional comments above, when making a decision in relation to this Appeal.

Yours sincerely

Dr Thomas Moore

Ms Brenda Houston