

Arboricultural Impact Assessment & Method Statement

Wrenbury Way, Cefn Mawr, Wrexham, LL14 3NG

Prepared for:

ANWYL CONSTRUCTION

Our Ref: 18/AIA/WXM/241

December 2018

Tree Solutions Ltd

T: 01244 389114

E: info@tree-solutions.co.uk

W: www.tree-solutions.co.uk

Contents:

1.0	Instruction
2.0	Statutory Controls & Planning Policy
3.0	The Site
4.0	Development Proposal
5.0	General Constraints Data – Construction Exclusion Zones
6.0	Survey Methodology
7.0	Juxtaposition of Trees & Structures
8.0	Demolition/Development Impact to Trees
9.0	Conclusions

<i>Appendix 1</i>	Tree Survey Schedule
<i>Appendix 2</i>	Preliminary Tree Constraints Plan
<i>Appendix 3</i>	Arboricultural Impact Plan
<i>Appendix 4</i>	Tree Protection Plan
<i>Appendix 5</i>	Tree Protection Measures/Method Statement

1.0 INSTRUCTION

- 1.1 We have been instructed by Anwyl Construction to carry out an Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) in order to assess the development proposal in relation to trees in accordance with the principles of British Standard 5837 'Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition & Construction - Recommendations' 2012.
- 1.2 We are instructed to prepare a report in order to provide information to assist all parties involved in the planning process to make balanced judgements with regard to arboricultural features in relation to the proposed residential development at Wrenbury Way, Cefn Mawr, Wrexham, LL14 3NG. As such, all trees within influencing distance to the required easement have been surveyed and are listed within a Tree Survey Schedule (**Appendix 1**) and plotted on all accompanying plans.
- 1.3 The tree survey was carried out on 29 November 2018 by Alistair Henderson, Principal Consultant to Tree Solutions Ltd. Our appraisal of the mechanical integrity of trees on the site is sufficient only to inform the current project. The assessment of trees is carried out from ground level without invasive investigation and the disclosure of hidden defects cannot therefore be expected. Whilst the survey is not specifically commissioned to report on matters of tree safety, we report obvious defects that are significant in relation to the existing and proposed land use. We do not carry out detailed safety inspections unless specifically instructed to do so in writing and have not carried out such inspections of trees on the proposal site.
- 1.4 Five individual tree (T1-T5) and four groups (G1-G4) were surveyed and mapped on a Preliminary Tree Constraints and Impact Assessment Plan Ref: 18/AIA/WXM/241, Drawing No. 1 & 2 at **Appendix 2/3**. All arboricultural information recorded during the survey is presented within a schedule at **Appendix 1**.
- 1.5 The Arboricultural Impact Assessment is based on the proposed site layout plan Ref: A3293 SK01 (Rev P) provided by Cassidy + Ashton Architects.

2.0 STATUTORY CONTROLS & PLANNING POLICY

- 2.1 In terms of impacts on trees, the planning application will be assessed against Policy EC4 of the Wrexham County Borough Council Unitary Development Plan (2005) and Local Planning Guidance Note 17 'Trees & Development' (2010).
- 2.1.2 We have not been able to establish if any trees on or adjoining the site are subject to a Tree Preservation Order. You are therefore advised to seek confirmation from WCBC prior to undertaking any remedial tree surgery work not granted consent under this planning proposal.

2.2 Protected Species

- 2.2.1 Mature trees often contain cavities, crevices and hollows that offer potential habitat for species such as bats and barn owls. Both are afforded protection under the Schedule 5 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended), as well as The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c) (Amendment) Regulations 2007. Refer to Kingdom Ecology report for further details.

2.3 Wildlife Habitats

- 2.3.1 Trees and hedgerows of most species provide valuable nesting sites for a wide range of birds and it is likely that nesting birds will be present on the site during the period March to September.

3.0 THE SITE

- 3.1 The site is a vacant building site with existing planning permission. There is a linear group of mature trees along the southern boundary and a mature Oak to the SE. All other trees are scrub or overgrown hedgerow. There are residential dwellings to the north & east and an existing vehicular access road.

4.0 DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

- 4.1 31 No semi-detached residential dwellings with associated vehicular access and parking.

5.0 GENERAL CONSTRAINTS DATA - CONSTRUCTION EXCLUSION ZONES (CEZ's)

5.1 GENERAL

5.1.1 The three phases of an AIA were outlined in Section 1. In addition, during the development process for retention trees, there may be three and even four constraints to consider: Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ's):

- CEZ 1: Root Protection Area (see 5.2)
- CEZ 2: Tree Crown Protection (see 5.3)
- CEZ 3: Tree Dominance (see 5.4)
- CEZ 4: New Tree Planting Zone (see 5.5)

CEZ's are explained below:

5.2 CEZ 1: ROOT PROTECTION AREA (RPA)

5.2.1 The RPA, calculated in m², should be protected before and during any demolition/construction works. This ensures the effective retention of trees by safeguarding a reliable quantum of functioning tree roots. The RPA is based on a radial measure from the centre of the tree stem, which is calculated by multiplying the stem diameter by a factor of twelve or by the $\sqrt{(\text{mean stem diameter}^2) \times \text{number of stems}}$ for multi-stemmed trees. With the AIA 1, the RPA is only shown indicatively on the preliminary TCP, as its shape may be subject to amendment as the design progresses.

5.2.2 During the AIA 2, the derived radial measure is converted by the arboriculturalist into the actual area to be protected, having due regard to prevailing site conditions and how these may have affected the tree(s), particularly in relation to factors affecting their likely rooting disposition. The RPA for each tree should initially be plotted as a circle centred on the base of the stem. Where pre-existing site conditions or other factors indicate that rooting has occurred asymmetrically, a polygon of equivalent area should be produced. Modifications to the shape of the RPA should reflect a soundly based arboricultural assessment of likely root distribution.

5.2.3 The means of protecting the RPA will include the installation of tree protective fencing prior to the start of any demolition or construction work on site. The prohibition of various activities within the RPA must be adhered to (e.g. mechanical excavation, soil stripping, fire lighting, material storage, lowering levels and creating excessive sealed surfacing) and may include the use of temporary ground protection and/or special engineering solutions where construction is proposed near to retention trees or within the RPA.

5.3 CEZ 2: TREE CROWN PROTECTION ZONE

5.3.1 This is the area above ground occupied by the crown (branches) of the tree, along with allowances for working space (safe working area) and if appropriate, for future growth. The extent of CEZ 2 is determined by considering the existing and future crown spread of the tree(s), bearing in mind the possibility of this being modified by an acceptable quantum of pruning.

5.4 CEZ 3: TREE DOMINANCE ZONE

5.4.1 This is the area above ground dominated by the tree in relation to issues of shading, seasonal debris and safety apprehension. This area is calculated by considering the height and spread of the tree relative to the proposed buildings, cross referenced with intended end use. As such, what is assessed is the likely psychological effect of the tree on the end user.

5.4.2 The purpose of identifying CEZ 3 is to protect trees from post development pressure (resentment) by the site's end users, who may, if resentful of the trees, seek to procure excessive pruning treatments or even to have them removed. This is a common Planning Service concern, which has led on many occasions both to refusals of consent and to dismissed Appeals against those refusals.

5.4.3 The means of protecting CEZ 3 is likely to include optimising the site layout and room type (especially in relation to new residential dwellings), such that any adverse psychological impacts of the trees are reduced to an acceptable minimum. Key principles include ensuring adequate separation distances between trees and new buildings, in the context of the buildings' end use relative to the location of the tree(s) and avoiding excessive obstruction by trees of critical solar access.

5.5 CEZ 4: NEW PLANTING ZONE

- 5.5.1 In some cases, it may be appropriate to identify and protect areas intended for new landscape planting, which can fail to establish if the soil has been heavily compacted or contaminated during the demolition/construction process. The means of protecting CEZ 4 will either be by fencing it off prior to the start of works on site, or by soil remediation once construction has finished (and prior to the start of planting). Topsoil protection in areas destined for new planting is frequently an economy measure, saving on plant replacement and soil structure remediation.

6.0 SURVEY METHODOLOGY

- 6.1 The method used in the preparation of this report is based on the principles of BS 5837: 2012.

1. Tree heights were surveyed to the nearest 1m.
2. Trunk diameters were measured by use of forestry girth tape
3. The category assessment (Table 1) on which the trees is based include current and long-term arboricultural, landscape, cultural and conservation values (BS5837: 2012). This table can be found at **Appendix 1**
4. For clarity, the grading system is summarised from **Table 2** of the BS as follows:

U grade – trees for removal, effective for less than 10 years

A grade – trees of high quality and value, effective for more than 40 years

B grade – trees of moderate quality and value, effective for more than 20 years

C grade – trees of low quality and value, effective for 10 years

Note: We have indicated colour coding on the drawing and therefore a monochrome copy should not be relied on.

6.2 SOIL ASSESSMENT

- 6.2.1 A soil assessment should be undertaken by a competent person to inform decisions relating to:

- the root protection area (RPA)
- tree protection
- new planting design; and
- foundation design to take account of retained, removed and new trees (potential soil subsidence/heave)

Tree Solutions do not undertake soil assessments and the client is advised to seek specialist advice in this respect.

7.0 JUXTAPOSITION OF TREES AND STRUCTURES

7.1 Below ground constraints

- 7.1.1 The below ground constraints are generally summarised as the root protection area (RPA). The shape of the RPA and its exact location will depend upon arboricultural considerations including likely tolerance of the tree to root disturbance; morphology and disposition of the roots when known influenced by past or existing site conditions; soil type and structure; and topography and drainage.
- 7.1.2 The purpose of the RPA is to prevent physical damage to tree roots and to prevent damage to the soil structure. Tree roots are damaged by soil compaction, changes in soil levels or soil contamination which could reduce tree health and/or stability.
- 7.1.3 Root patterns are affected by topography and characteristics of the soil or substrate. Where trees are located within close proximity to existing hard standing or underground physical barriers they are unlikely to have an even distribution of lateral roots due to restrictions in root growth created by compacted sub-grades beneath. The RPA of all trees have been plotted unmodified as there were no underground barriers present that would prevent good radial root spread.

7.2 Underground Services

- 7.2.1 We have considered the broad implications of the provision of underground services but the locations of existing and proposed were not identified on the plans supplied by the Project Architect and in this regard, our advice is of a general nature.
- 7.2.2 Drainage and service runs may need to be constructed within the rooting areas of retained trees. If this is a requirement of the development it will be necessary to retain significant roots and methods of excavation, such as thrust boring or hand digging, may need to be adopted to ensure that these impacts are acceptable.
- 7.2.3 As with foundation design, low impact construction methods for services installation are now well established. For more information regarding underground services, reference should be made to the National Joint Utilities Group (NJUG) Publication No. 10. Volume 4 '*Guidelines for the Planning, Installation and Maintenance of Utility Services in Proximity to Trees*' 2007

8.0 DEVELOPMENT IMPACT TO TREES

- 8.1 Tree Solutions carried out a phase one preliminary tree survey and provided the project architects with a report in which all existing trees and their respective Root Protection Areas (RPA) were identified and plotted on a Tree Constraints Plan and draft impact assessment plan. The architects have incorporated the design and layout advice contained within the phase 1 survey and the proposed layout has been amended following our pre-application input and the design has therefore been determined by the retention category/values of the trees and the location of their designated RPA's. As such the principals of BS5837: 2012, WCBC Planning Policy EC4 and LPG 17 have been adhered to.
- 8.2 A daylight shadow path assessment has been undertaken at the request of WCBC Tree Officer, this has shown some minor shading of the rear aspect of the gardens to plot numbers 24-28. This section of garden slopes down towards the trees and as such will not be utilised as the principle garden area. The rear elevation of each plot and at least half their garden area enjoy uninterrupted daylight such that the trees and dwellings can coexist well with no future pressure to prune or fell. On the contrary, these trees offer an attractive backdrop to the dwellings and an important visual screen to the sewage works located to their south. We are therefore confident that the trees will be considered a real asset to future occupiers and the amenity value they currently afford will be radically enhanced once this development has been completed.
- 8.2 There are no proposed tree removals or adverse construction impacts as all works are located well outside the designated RPA of trees.

9.0 CONCLUSIONS

- 9.1 BS 5837: 2012 contains clear and current recommendations for a best practice approach to the assessment, retention and protection of trees on development sites. The proposed development has followed this guidance by:
- Seeking arboricultural advice and undertaking a phase 1 preliminary tree survey in order to inform the layout and design of the proposed development
 - Respecting the constraints posed to development of the site by high or moderate quality trees
 - Acting upon arboricultural advice throughout the design process in order to obtain the best development proposal whilst considering the current and future tree requirements

Appendix One

Tree Survey Schedule

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE (BS5837: 2012)

SITE:	WRENBURY WAY, CEFN MAWR, WREXHAM, LL14 3NG
CLIENT:	ANWYL CONSTRUCTION
BRIEF:	ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

SURVEYOR:	A. HENDERSON
ASSESSMENT DATE:	29/11/2018
VIEWING CONDITIONS:	WET
JOB REFERENCE:	18/AIA/WXM/241

TREE NO. T - Tree G - Group H - Hedge	SPECIES (COMMON NAME)	AGE	HEIGHT (m) + CROWN CLEARANCE/ DIRECTION OF GROWTH (N.S.E.W)	RADIAL CROWN SPREAD (m)				STEM/ MULTI-STEM* DIA.(mm)	VITALITY	COMMENTS	MANAGEMENT	CATEGORY & SUB- CATEGORY GRADING BS 5837	BS 5837 RADIUS (m) RPA (m²)
				N	S	E	W						
T1	Oak	M	16 4E	9	6	8	7	1100	G	• Mature tree located on site boundary - made up land to NE • Overlong low lateral limb to north with excessive end loading - would benefit from reduction to prevent failure • Attractive tree with no significant defects • E.R.C. 40+	• Reduce lowest lateral limb to north by 3m to alleviate loading • Crown clean	A2	13.2 547m²
T2	Sycamore	M	19 2N	8	9	7	8	950	G	• Outside edge tree to linier group forming 1 large closed canopy • Collectively form good screen to sewage plant to south and also provide an attractive landscape feature to locale • E.R.C.40	• Crown lift to 5m and reduce canopy to south by 2m	A2	11.4 408m²
T3	Oak	M	18 1N	9	7	4	4	640 540 (837)	G	• Twin stemmed tree with asymmetric crown form due to heavy suppression from adjacent trees • Large diameter dead wood in crown • E.R.C.40	• Remove all dead wood • Crown lift to 5m	A2	10 317m²
T4	Sycamore	M	19 1N	8	9	3	3	820	G	• Asymmetric crown form due to heavy suppression from adjacent trees • Ivy clad stem impeding inspection • E.R.C. 40	• Crown lift to 5m	A2	9.8 304m²

HEADINGS & ABBREVIATIONS

TREE NO.
SPECIES:
AGE RANGE/LIFE STAGE:
HEIGHT:
CROWN SPREAD:
CROWN CLEARANCE & DIRECTION OF GROWTH:
STEM DIA/MULTI-STEM DIA:
VITALITY:
E.R.C. = ESTIMATED REMAINING CONTRIBUTION:
BS 5837 CATEGORY & SUB-CATEGORY GRADING:
BS 5837 RADIUS & BS 5837 RPA:

REFERENCE NUMBER. REFER TO PLAN OR NUMBERED TAGS WHERE APPLICABLE (T = TREE, G = GROUP, H = HEDGE)
COMMON NAME (LATIN NAMES AVAILABLE ON REQUEST)
Y = YOUNG, SM = SEMI MATURE, EM = EARLY MATURE, M = MATURE, PM = POST MATURE
ESTIMATED AND RECORDED IN METRES. APPROXIMATELY 1 IN 10 TREES ARE MEASURED USING A CLINOMETER AND THE REMAINDER ESTIMATED AGAINST THE MEASURED TREES
MAXIMUM CROWN RADIUS MEASURED TO THE FOUR CARDINAL COMPASS POINTS FOR SINGLE SPECIMENS ONLY (MEASUREMENT FOR TREE GROUPS - MAXIMUM RADIUS OF THE GROUP)
HEIGHT IN METERS OF CROWN CLEARANCE ABOVE ADJACENT GROUND LEVEL (TO INFORM ON GROUND CLEARANCE, CROWN/STEM RATIO AND SHADING)
STEM DIAMETER - MEASURED AT APPROXIMATELY 1.5 METRES ABOVE GROUND LEVEL OR A COMBINATION OF STEMS FOR MULTI-STEMMED TREES
A MEASURE OF PHYSIOLOGICAL CONDITION. D = DEAD, MD = MORIBUND, P = POOR, M = MODERATE, G = GOOD
RELATIVE USEFUL LIFE EXPECTANCY (YEARS)
A = HIGH QUALITY AND VALUE, B = MODERATE QUALITY AND VALUE, C = LOW QUALITY AND VALUE, U = UNSUITABLE FOR RETENTION (SUB-CATEGORY REFERS TO ARBORICULTURAL, LANDSCAPE AND CULTURAL/CONSERVATION VALUES)
PROTECTIVE DISTANCE - RADIUS FROM THE CENTRE OF THE STEM TO THE LINE OF TREE PROTECTION (CONSTRUCTION EXCLUSION ZONE - CEZ) AND PROTECTIVE BARRIER ROOT PROTECTION AREA - BS 5837 (2012) ANNEX D (THE RECOMMENDATIONS STATE THAT THE RPA SHOULD BE CAPPED AT 707 M²) NOTE - ALL CALCULATIONS ROUNDED TO NEAREST DECIMAL

TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE (BS5837: 2012)

Tree Solutions

Arboricultural Consultants

SITE:	WRENBURY WAY, CEFN MAWR, WREXHAM, LL14 3NG
CLIENT:	ANWYL CONSTRUCTION
BRIEF:	ARBORICULTURAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

SURVEYOR:	A. HENDERSON
ASSESSMENT DATE:	29/11/2018
VIEWING CONDITIONS:	WET
JOB REFERENCE:	18/AIA/WXM/241

PAGE 2 OF 2

TREE NO. T - Tree G - Group H - Hedge	SPECIES (COMMON NAME)	AGE	HEIGHT (m) + CROWN CLEARANCE/ DIRECTION OF GROWTH (N.S.E.W)	RADIAL CROWN SPREAD (m)				STEM/ MULTI-STEM* DIA.(mm)	VITALITY	COMMENTS	MANAGEMENT	CATEGORY & SUB-CATEGORY GRADING BS 5837	BS 5837 RADIUS (m) RPA (m²)
				N	S	E	W						
T5	Sycamore	M	19 3E	8	8	6	3	790	G	• Edge tree • Ivy clad impeding inspection • As T1 • E.R.C. 40	• Crown lift to 5m	A2	9.5 282m²
G1	Sycamore	SM	≤10	3	3	2	2	≤300	M	• Linier group along boundary fence line • Small trees of no value • Coppiced in past • E.R.C. 10	• Re-coppice or remove	C2	3.6 41m²
G2	Elm Hawthorn	M	≤6	2	2	2	2	≤175	D/G	• Dead Elms • Overgrown unmanaged Hawthorn scrub - possibly former hedge • Provides good screen to sewage works • E.R.C. 10	• N/A	C2	2.1 14m²
G3	Hawthorn	M	≤5					≤170	G	• Overgrown unmanaged Hawthorn scrub • Provides good screen to sewage works • E.R.C. 10	• N/A	C2	2 13m²
G4	Rowan Spruce Hawthorn	EM	≤5					≤200	M	• Unmanaged trees/scrub outside boundary • E.R.C. 10	• N/A	C2	2.4 18m²

Table 1 Cascade chart for tree quality assessment

Category and definition	Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate)			Identification on plan
Trees unsuitable for retention (see Note)				
Category U Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years	- Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning) - Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline - Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality <i>NOTE Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve; see 4.5.7.</i>			See Table 2
	1 Mainly arboricultural qualities	2 Mainly landscape qualities	3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation	
Trees to be considered for retention				
Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)	Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture)	See Table 2
Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years	Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation	Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality	Trees with material conservation or other cultural value	See Table 2
Category C Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150 mm	Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value	See Table 2

Appendix Two

Preliminary Tree Constraints Plan



Legend

- Root Protection Area
Modified to Account for
Site Features
- Category
- Root
Protection
Area
- Crown
Spread
- Tree
Number
- Category A
(High Quality)
- Category B
(Moderate Quality)
- Category C
(Low Quality)
No crown outlined
- Category U
(Dead/Dying/In Decline)

AM PM

Approximate sweep of shade cast by trees in midsummer, plotted as per description in BS5837: 2012

"An indication of potential direct obstruction of sunlight can be illustrated by plotting a segment, with a radius from the centre of the stem equal to the height of the tree, drawn from due north-west to due east, indicating the shadow pattern through the main part of the day."

Client:	
Anwyl Construction	
Project:	
Wrenbury Way, Cefn Mawr, Wrexham, LL14 3NG	
Title:	
Preliminary Tree Constraints Plan	
Scale:	Date:
1:250@A1	December 2018
Drawn By:	Revision:
AM	A
Job Ref:	Drawing No:
18/AIA/WXM/241	01
Do not scale from this drawing all dimensions to be checked on site.	
The copyright of this document resides with Tree Solutions unless assigned in writing by the company	

Appendix Three

Impact Assessment Plan



Legend

Root Protection Area
Modified to Account for
Site Features

Category A
(High Quality)

Category B
(Moderate Quality)

Category C
(Low Quality)

Category U
(Dead/Dying/In Decline)

Crown
Spread

Tree
Number

Root
Protection
Area


AM

PM

Approximate sweep of shade cast by
trees in midsummer, plotted as per
description in BS5837: 2012

"An indication of potential direct
obstruction of sunlight can be illustrated
by plotting a segment, with a radius from the
centre of the stem equal to the
height of the tree, drawn from due
north-west to due east, indicating the
shadow pattern through the main part of
the day."

Tree Proposed for Removal

Client: Anwyl Construction	
Project: Wrenbury Way, Cefn Mawr, Wrexham, LL14 3NG	
Title: Arboricultural Impact Assessment	
Scale: 1:250@A1	Date: December 2018
Drawn By: AM	Revision: -
Job Ref: 18/AIA/WXM/241	Drawing No: 02
Do not scale from this drawing all dimensions to be checked on site.	
The copyright of this document resides with Tree Solutions unless assigned in writing by the company	
E: info@tree-solutions.co.uk W: www.tree-solutions.co.uk T: 01244 389114 Company Registration No: 06488511	

Appendix Four

Tree Protection Plan




Figure 2 Default specification for protective barrier

Key

- 1 Standard scaffold poles
- 2 Heavy gauge 2m tall galvanized tube and welded mesh (100 panels)
- 3 Panels secured to uprights and cross-members with wire ties
- 4 Ground level
- 5 Uprights driven into the ground until secure (minimum depth 0.6m)
- 6 Standard scaffold clamps

Figure 3 Examples of above-ground stabilizing systems

a) Stabilizer strut with base plate secured with ground pins

b) Stabilizer strut mounted on block tray

PROTECTIVE FENCING. THIS FENCING MUST BE MAINTAINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE APPROVED PLANS AND DRAWINGS FOR THIS DEVELOPMENT.

TREE PROTECTION AREA KEEP OUT!

TREES ENCLOSED BY THIS FENCING ARE PROTECTED BY PLANNING CONSENT. THE PROTECTION OF A TREE PRESERVATION ORDER MAY LEAD TO CRIMINAL PROSECUTION.

ANY BREACHES OF THE PROTECTED AREA MAY BE WITH THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE LOCAL PLANNING AUTHORITY.

Legend

Root Protection Area Modified to Account for Site Features

Category A (High Quality) Category B (Moderate Quality) Category C (Low Quality) Category U (Dead/Dying/In Decline)

Crown Spread Tree Number

Tree Protection Area

Tree Protection Fencing

Client: Anwyl Construction

Project: Wrenbury Way, Cefn Mawr, Wrexham, LL14 3NG

Title: Tree Protection Plan

Scale: 1:250@A1 Date: December 2018

Drawn By: AM Revision: -

Job Ref: 18/AIA/WXM/241 Drawing No: 03

Do not scale from this drawing all dimensions to be checked on site.

The copyright of this document resides with Tree Solutions unless assigned in writing by the company

E: info@tree-solutions.co.uk
W: www.tree-solutions.co.uk
T: 01244 389114
Company Registration No: 10488811

Appendix Five

Arboricultural Method Statement

SEQUENCE OF OPERATIONS

From commencement of the above development, the following methodology shall be implemented in the manner and sequence described:

1. Tree surgery work
2. Erect temporary tree protective fencing
3. Main construction phase
4. Removal of temporary fencing
5. Landscaping within RPA of trees
6. Arboricultural site supervision

1. Tree Surgery Works

1. Before the erection of the temporary protective fencing, all tree removal shall be implemented in accordance with the Tree Survey Schedule at **Appendix 1**
2. All possible efforts must be made to prevent damage to retained trees including potential root incursion or compaction caused by vehicle access.
3. All arboricultural works shall conform to the recommendations of BS 3998 (2010) 'Recommendations for Tree Work'
4. All operatives shall be equipped with and use personal protective equipment (PPE) in accordance with current Health & Safety Executive current directives and industry codes of practice.
5. Performance of all arboricultural operations and use of equipment shall be in accordance with current Health & Safety Executive current directives and industry codes of practice.

2. Erect Temporary Tree Protective Fencing

1. Prior to commencement of any construction, preparation, excavation or material deliveries the main contractor shall erect the temporary protective fencing as detailed in the 'Tree Protection Specification' and in the location indicated on the Tree Protection Plan.

3. Main/Construction Phase

1. Tree protective fencing to be erected prior to any construction plant or materials entering the site
2. Tree protective barriers in accordance with BS 5837: 2012 will be erected to prevent damage to the tree stems and any movement of plant with the RPA
3. There shall be no storage of construction material, site parking, site accommodation or equipment in any area designated as the Root Protection Area (RPA) and Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ) and enclosed by Temporary Protective Fencing
4. No materials that are likely to have an adverse effect on tree health such as oil, bitumen or cement will be stored or discharged within 10 metres of the trunk of a tree that is to be retained. No fires will be lit
5. The site agent shall supervise deliveries by self-loading crane, with vehicles positioned in such a manner that retained trees are not at risk of damage

Cement Mixing

- The cement mixer will be laid on top of plywood boards in a position outside the RPA of any trees. The mixer will be kept in this position throughout all development work.

Avoiding Damage to Stems and Branches

- Care shall be taken when planning site operations in proximity to trees to ensure that wide or tall loads or plant with booms, jibs and counterweights can operate without coming into contact with retained trees. Such contact can result in serious injury resulting in safe retention impossible

On Site Storage of Spoil and Building Materials

- Prior to and during all site construction works no spoil will be stored and no cement mixing will take place within the Root Protection Area of any tree on or adjacent to the site even if proposed site work is to be within the crown spread. Any encroachment within this protected area will only be with the prior agreement of the LPA Arboricultural Officer

4. Remove all Temporary Tree Protective Fencing

1. Tree Protective fencing will only be removed upon completion of all construction work and once all machinery associated with the works has left site.
2. The tree protection fencing shall not be removed until written confirmation has been received from the Local Planning Authority that it is acceptable to do so.

5. Landscaping within RPA of Trees

1. There shall be **no rotovation** of ground within any area designated as a Root Protection Area (RPA) and Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ) and enclosed by Temporary Protective Fencing.
2. No hard landscaping works or excavation for cables or any other service should be installed within the Root Protection Area (RPA) and Construction Exclusion Zone (CEZ) without the written consent of the LPA.

6. ARBORICULTURAL SITE SUPERVISION

- 1 The erection of all tree protection measures outlined in this AMS and as indicated on the Tree Protection Plan will be inspected by the contract Arboricultural Consultant immediately after erection. Photographic evidence of the location and specification of all protective fencing will be forwarded to the LPA Tree Officer upon completion.

TREE PROTECTIVE FENCING

- 1 Before the commencement of construction works on site (other than those set out in the schedule of tree works contained in this document), protective fencing will be erected as detailed on the Tree Protection Plan and as specified below. The LPA Tree Officer will be given 5 days notice upon completion of the fencing in order to inspect and approve prior to the commencement of any site works.
- 2 The fencing will consist of a 2x2 timber posts concreted into the ground to provide a framework in accordance with BS 5837 – 2012 (photo below). Posts will be spaced at a maximum interval of 3m. Onto this, weldmesh panels shall be securely fixed with wire or scaffold clamps. Weldmesh panels on rubber or concrete feet are not considered resistant to impact and for this reason will not be used. The site manager or other suitably qualified appointed person will be responsible for inspecting the protective fencing on a daily basis; any damage to the fencing or breaches of the fenced area will be rectified immediately.
- 3 Clearly legible weatherproof signage, stating “Protected Trees – Exclusion Zone” shall be attached to the fencing 1.5m from the ground, facing out of the Tree Protection Zone located at regular intervals along the fence line
- 4 The fencing will remain in place until completion of all site works and then only removed when all site traffic is removed from site
- 5 Other than works detailed within this method statement or approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority (LPA), no works including storage or dumping of materials shall take place within the exclusion zones defined by the protective fencing.

Protective Fencing Detail

1. **Magenta** - Trees



Useful Contacts

Arboricultural Consultant

Name: Alistair Henderson
 Tel: 01244 389114
 Mobile: 07766 774508
 Email: alistair@tree-solutions.co.uk

LPA Arboricultural Officer

Name: Jon Brewin
 Tel: 01978 298763
 Email: jon.brewin@wrexham.gov.uk