

MEMORANDUM



From: Chief Officer, Environment & Technical **To:** Chief Officer Planning & Regulatory
Our Ref: PR/DC/P/2019/0792 **Your Ref:** SEH/P/2019/0792
Date: 15th January 2020

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990
TOWN AND COUNTRY (GENERAL DEVELOPMENT PROCEDURE) ORDER 1995

Location: O. S Grid Ref: 350 504 Community Centre, Eaton Drive, Wrexham.

Development: Demolition of existing community centre, erection of new apartment block comprising 10 no. two bed apartments and 6 no. 1 bed apartments.

I refer to your consultation / email dated 19/12/2019 including layout plan (Drg. No. SK01 Rev X) in respect of the above.

Existing Layout

The proposed development site is bounded by Eaton Drive to the west and Sycamore Road to the north. Both are unclassified, residential roads subject to a 20mph speed limit. Eaton Drive & Sycamore Road incorporate traffic calming features in the form of speed cushions.

The community centre itself is accessed off Eaton Drive via the existing access serving the car park associated with the Kingsley Circle shopping arcade and community centre.

This existing access is only 3.9m wide and cannot accommodate the simultaneous passage of 2 no. vehicles. Ideally this access should be at least 5.5m wide. If any proposed re-development of the site results in a significant increase in vehicle movements then I would recommend appropriate widening of this access. The proposed development is considered likely to result in significantly more vehicle movements than its previous use.

Visibility from this existing access onto Eaton Drive is adequate providing splays in excess of 2.4 x 25m in both directions in accordance with Manual for Streets.

The existing communal car park appears to provide around 26 spaces. I assume that the 10 no. spaces fronting the community centre were previously allocated to this building. However, these existing spaces still appear to be utilized. The submitted layout plan (Drg. No SK01 Rev X) indicates the retention of these 10 spaces for communal use which is considered acceptable.

Proposed Layout

I would recommend the existing 3.9m wide access road serving the site is widened to a minimum of 5.5m. The submitted layout plan (Drg. No SK01 Rev X) indicates this detail.

I would recommend that any existing footways bordering the site are widened to 2m including the footway link on the eastern side of the site linking the existing car park with Sycamore Road. I would recommend that the footway at the southern edge of the site is retained and widened to 2m. The submitted layout plan (Drg. No SK01 Rev X) indicates this detail.

The applicant will be required to enter into a s.38 / 278 agreement with the Council in order to carry out any alterations to the existing access and footways.

I understand that the carriageway / access into the existing car park is under the ownership of the WCBC Asset Management / Housing Section. The applicant would need to obtain consent from our Asset Management Section in order to achieve access to the development site. It would appear that our Asset Management Section have concerns in respect of the adequacy of the parking spaces retained, likely increase in traffic and capacity issues within the car park during the construction phase. **The applicant will need to demonstrate that our Asset Management Section is agreeable to provide access to the site and also address the concerns they have raised.**

The existing communal car park appears to provide around 26 spaces. I assume that the 10 no. spaces fronting the community centre were previously allocated to this building. However, these existing spaces still appear to be utilized. The submitted layout plan (Drg. No SK01 Rev X) indicates the retention of these 10 spaces for communal use which is considered acceptable.

Adequate parking and turning provision must be provided for any alternative development at the site in accordance with LPGN 16 requirements. The proposed 18 apartments would normally require a maximum of 29 spaces in accordance with LPGN 16. The submitted layout plan appears to indicate the provision of 31 spaces for the proposed apartments which is considered adequate.

I would recommend that the proposed internal access serving the apartments is a minimum width of 4.8m. This could take the form of a dropped kerb vehicular access. I would recommend that the proposed car park is designed to accommodate the turning movements of a medium sized delivery vehicle (see attached detail). **Could you please ask the applicant to indicate this detail on a revised layout plan.**

Street Lighting

Our Street Lighting Section have recommended that an additional lighting column is installed on the western side of the internal access within the adopted footway. I would recommend that the applicant liaises with our Street Lighting Section (Contact: Paul Rogers on Tel: 01978 729673) in respect of any modifications / details required for existing / proposed lighting columns.

Waste Disposal / Recycling / Deliveries

It is important that any proposed bin store / compound is of adequate size / design to accommodate the required number / type of bins and is suitably located to serve such a development ie/ in close proximity to the adjoining highway.

I would strongly recommend that you consult with our Waste Strategy Officer –Catherine Golightly on Tel: 01978 729693 to discuss the above detail and the adequacy of any area allocated to waste collection.

I would recommend that the applicant submits swept path details indicating how an 11.2m long refuse vehicle will enter / exit the site off Eaton Drive and park and turn within the site (detail not provided).

Surface Water Drainage

I assume that this site will require a separate SABS application / submission which needs to include a suitable scheme to deal with surface water run-off which will need to be approved by our Flood Management Officer – Neil Taunt. The applicant will need to contact our Flood Management Officer – Neil Taunt to discuss / agree any proposed surface water drainage system for the development site.

If the access is widened then appropriate measures will be needed to prevent private surface water flowing onto the adjoining highway.

In principle, I have no objections to the proposed development / layout but this is dependent on a suitable SABS submission being submitted and approved for any works to commence.

Construction Traffic Management Plan (including details of contractor parking)

If the application is supported, in order to construct the proposed scheme the applicant will need to submit a Construction Traffic Management Plan including details of contractor parking for further approval. This could be included as a planning condition.

Our Asset Management Section have concerns in respect of the adequacy of the parking spaces retained, likely increase in traffic and capacity issues with the car park during the construction phase. **I would recommend that the applicant provides further details on how these issues will be addressed.**

I await the requested information.

Supplementary Notes

The applicant's attention should be drawn to item nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5 of the supplementary notes.



 Chief Officer, Environment & Technical

