

Cerys Jones

From: Philip Forster
Sent: 28 November 2019 09:38
To: planning_admin
Subject: P/2019/0807

Highways response

From: Peter Douthwaite
Sent: 27 November 2019 09:17
To: Philip Forster
Subject: RE: Coop PFS signs

The position of the rest of the signs look okas for the welsh translation I don't know.

From: Philip Forster
Sent: 27 November 2019 09:05
To: Peter Douthwaite
Subject: RE: Coop PFS signs

Hi Pete,

On reflection, I'm going to suggest I split refuse/grant this so I can get it determined given the nature of the Inspector's thoughts previously. They can appeal or come in with a future application to sort out the totem and access signs. We can do this with signage.

Other than signs L, M, N, O and T, are you OK with the rest?

Ta

Phil

From: Peter Douthwaite
Sent: 26 November 2019 16:16
To: Philip Forster
Subject: Coop PFS signs

Phil

Some concerns over a couple of the signs along the site frontage.

We won an appeal P/2008/1103 on the size / type of totem sign placed in a similar position to Sign T on the plan. The size of the sign blocked vis out of the site access and from recall out of the neighbouring property to the south east. The agreed size let you see under the sign – I think the bottom of it was something like 2m off the ground level?

Also unsure about the location / orientation of signs L M N & O

Very difficult to go on site to assess as they are working on the site but they could potentially cause visibility issues for those leaving the site?

Can you ask the applicant to ensure the signs LMNO do not adversely impact on visibility and request that they amend the Totem sign to reflect what has been previously permitted

The rest of the signs look ok subject to usual conditions re no light source directly visible to motorists on the adjacent highway.

Thanks

Pete