

Cerys Jones

From: Sharon Holman
Sent: 05 August 2019 09:00
To: planning_admin
Subject: FW: The Cottage, Smithfield Street, Holt HOL/P/2017/0763
Attachments: The Cottage - Window-Door Details for Planning.pdf

Civ – 'additional info web' below and attached

Please can I have paper copies for the file?

Thanks
Sharon

From: Sandra Murray [<mailto:sleamurray@gmail.com>]
Sent: 23 July 2019 18:08
To: Sharon Holman
Subject: Fwd: The Cottage, Smithfield Street, Holt HOL/P/2017/0763

Dear Sharon,

Further to your email of 10hJuly regarding discharge of Condition 4, my clients have taken on board your comments and I now attach an amended [drg.no](#). 07B with coloured crosses on the windows/doors denoting the type and detail of the windows/doors proposed.

The red crosses are proposed to be Heritage Aluminium frames (as detailed in the attached brochure extract). These frames are designed to replicate the original steel windows in the property. They have slim section both on elevation and in depth and, as can be seen in the catalogue extract, they can have the leaded lights which are present in the existing building. These are proposed on the South elevation where I have marked with red crosses.

On the rear, west elevation, my clients would like simple window/doors without any cross bars to be able to see the garden. This elevation is not on public view and not covered by the Article 4. I think there is an opportunity to allow this, less cluttered, look here because the rest of the house is closed in with the small frames and leaded lights cutting off the house from the outside spaces. It would be nice for them to be able to see the garden. The slim framing and concealed hinge detail will compliment the existing openings. I hope you will be able to deal with this alteration to the glazing bars without the need for a fresh application. I have marked the windows/doors with a green cross and included an extract from a catalogue illustrating the Emperor Concealed frames proposed in these openings.

I am also attaching a suggested door detail which can have the leaded lights shown on the South Elevation. I thought we could use the same door detail on the North elevation to tie the scheme together.

With regards to the slate sample. The slate submitted had been taken off the existing roof. It is proposed to strip the West facing slope of the existing roof and re-used these slates to form the new West facing roof finish with make up slate to match. The two new inner roof slopes will be in reclaimed slate to match the existing. It is proposed to use reclaimed slate in same colour and size as existing but my client is unable to purchase the slate until he has confirmation from Planning that this is the way he can proceed. Catch 22! Reclaimed slate does not remain in the reclamation yards for long so a decision on the slate needs to be

made so my client can source the best for the project knowing he can use it. It would not be appropriate to use new slate in this instance because the weathered look is preferable. Is it possible for my client to confirm that he will use reclaimed slate in same colour, same size and laid to same lap at the existing? I cannot think of another way forward on this matter.

I hope the attached provides you with sufficient information to be able to discharge Condition 4

Please telephone me if you have any queries on 01829 270858

Regards,

Sandra Lea-Murray