

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Wales](#)
Subject: Planning Inspectorate reference - 3173915
Date: 13 May 2017 17:57:28

I don't believe the issue of additional traffic as a result of the proposed development has been fully addressed.

E-mail from Joanne to Philip Forster dated 2nd September 2016 -

"With regard to the traffic and access concerns, many comments related to the view that Gamford Lane is often used as a cut through road and any measures to reduce traffic using it as a short cut or reduce the speed of the traffic would be beneficial."

I would suggest that the proposed widening of Gamford Lane is likely to increase the traffic using it as a cut through and increase the speed of traffic.

E-mail from Patrick Lomax to Philip Forster dated 3rd February 2017 -

"Highways – No Objection subject to recommendations, including removal of 130m of hedge to widen Gamford Lane & 2m footway."

The reference to the removal of 130m of hedge is, I assume, the section of hedge alongside the proposed development. Gamford Lane is narrow for its whole length up to the junction with Rossett Road. In fact at this junction Gamford Lane is particularly narrow and there is insufficient width for two cars to pass each other. This results in cars turning right from Rossett Road into Gamford Lane being unable to complete the turn and end up sticking out into Rossett Road.

I use Gamford Lane two or three times a week from the junction with Darland Lane towards Holt at around 8:00 am and regularly have to brake and reduce speed to a crawl as drivers coming the opposite direction (the cut through) seem reluctant to slow down.

Infrastructure – I would just like to mention that we had to wait six months to join the local dentist when we moved to the area two years ago.

Regards,

Mike Parks

2 Pippin Lane

Rossett

LL12 0BJ

[REDACTED]

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service.
For more information please visit <http://www.symanteccloud.com>
