


Visual and Sensory		
Aspect Area Name	North, West and South of Rhos	
Aspect Area Classification	Upland/Hills, Lower Plateau & Scarp Slopes/Hill & Lower Plateau Grazing (Level 3)	
Aspect Area Code	WRXHMVS020	
Date Of Survey : 06/09/2007		

Crown Copyright. All rights reserved CCW 100018813 2005

Monitoring

Has the information ever been verified in the field?	Yes (N/A)
Does this area have a special or functional link with an adjacent area?	Yes (Physical and to a lesser extent visual link in terms of the continuation of the lower slopes of Ruabon Mountain)
During which season(s) was fieldwork carried out?	Summer

Description

Summary Description	A broad aspect area forming the middle upper to mid slopes of the area rising up to Ruabon Mountain. The area predominantly comprises of small to medium scale agricultural field pattern with a bias to pasture and livestock farming largely dictated by the undulating and sloping nature of the topography. Field boundaries are defined by mature but sparse hedgerows with limited hedgerow trees and supplemented with fences. small stream valleys and dips punctuate the overall slope and these usually contain wooded areas and small copses of mixed deciduous woodland. Sense of place is not well defined as the area itself has no specific focus - views are largely directed to the east over the lower lying land and lowlands towards Ruabon/A483 corridor or are short distance and relatively limited by the underlying topography. The area is more weakly defined towards the south of the area as field sizes increase. general settlement pattern is scattered farmstead and small clustered villages with the area bisected in places by larger settlements at Rhosllanerchrugog, and Penycae.
Physical Form And Elements: Topographic Form?	Rolling/Undulating
Physical Form And Elements: Landcover Pattern?	Field Pattern/Mosaic
Physical form and elements: Settlement pattern	Scattered Rural/Farm
Physical form and elements: Boundary type	Managed Hedge
Aesthetic Qualities: Scale?	Small
Aesthetic Qualities: Sense of Enclosure?	Enclosed

Aesthetic Qualities: Diversity?	Diverse
Aesthetic Qualities: Texture?	Coarse
Aesthetic Qualities: Lines?	Angular
Aesthetic Qualities: Colour?	Moderate Contrasts
Aesthetic Qualities: Balance?	Discordant
Aesthetic Qualities: Unity?	Neutral
Aesthetic Qualities: Pattern?	Organised
Aesthetic Qualities: Seasonal Interest?	Mixed
Other Factors: Level of Human Access?	Frequent
Other Factors: Night Time Light Pollution?	Moderate
Other Factors: Use of Construction Materials?	Generally Appropriate
What materials? Give Details:	Generally and in older buildings local Cefn sandstone plus brick with a very varied selection of modern materials with more recent buildings
There are attractive views...	...both in and out (Usually at a local scale and short distance framed by hedgerow boundaries and truncated by the underlying rolling and undulating landform. out of the area up towards upland moorland and ridge of Ruabon Mountain)
There are detractive views...	...both in and out (Some unsightly views within the aspect of some of the more modern and unsympathetic and unsightly lines of pylons running to and from the large electricity substation north of Penycae .)
Perceptual and Other Sensory Qualities	Sheltered Settled
What is the sense of place/local distinctiveness	Weak (No specific focus or character for the area due to the short distance availability of the views and overall lack or common or distinctive characteristics)

Evaluation

Value:	Moderate (Refer to Q.51)
Condition:	Fair (Relatively stable in terms of its agricultural management but with little variety in terms of habitat)
Trend:	Unassessed


Recommendations

Existing management	Generally Appropriate
Existing management remarks:	Generally stable agricultural management of the overall aspect but the area needs further careful management and diversification of the rural/urban fringe and diversification of habitats
Principal management recommendation:	Enhance existing habitats - field margins and hedgerow boundaries and urban rural fringe with species diversification and re-introduction of traditional land management techniques and restore field boundaries woodland habitats improving age range and species type with an emphasis on British Native species
Guideline	Medium Term (Encourage development of urban forest/ woodland fringe to integrate development with countryside) Medium Term (Encourage removal of pylons, mitigation of visual impact) Medium Term (Develop potential of disturbed landscapes for recreation and habitat creation.) Medium Term (Restore field boundaries and promote traditional land management to establish more varied habitats)
Define the key qualities that should be conserved:	Field boundaries and field pattern with wooded copses in lower lying areas. Overall rural emphasis for area
Define the key qualities that should be enhanced:	Habitat diversity - field margins areas of disturbed land and in urban fringe
Define the key qualities that should be changed:	Soften urban/rural fringe to enable greater integration and improve relationship between settlement and surroundings
Define the key elements that should be conserved:	Woodland blocks along lower lying and stream courses. Field pattern with well defined and vegetated boundaries
Define the key elements that should be enhanced:	Species diversity within woodland and field boundaries/margins with emphasis on improving habitat diversity and introducing British Native species.
Define the key elements that should be changed:	Remove or reduce impact of industrial elements i.e. pylons and overhead electricity lines.

Tolerance To Change

Are there any significant threats to the current integrity and condition of the visual & sensory features of the area?	Not known
Aspect Area Boundary	
To what level was this information site-surveyed?	Level 3
At 1:10,000, how much of the Aspect Area boundary is precise?	All
What baseline information source was used for Aspect Area boundary mapping?	Other (OS Data - Landline and raster and aerial photographs)
If OS Data was used, what was the scale?	1:10,000 and 1:25,000
What is the justification for the Aspect Area boundaries?	An area of broadly similar field pattern and land use occupying a gently undulating area on the middle upper slopes of Ruabon mountain rising from 200-325m AOD. Although lacking in a distinctive sense of place the area has a number of common landscape features - field pattern, vegetation cover and distribution in a broad area over a similar elevation bounded by settlements
Bibliography	
List the key sources used for this assessment	Pre 2003 methodology LANDMAP study for WCBC
Evaluation Matrix	
Evaluation Criteria: Scenic quality	Moderate (Views tend to be of a short distance and small/domestic scale and vary in quality with some aesthetically pleasing elements such as woodland blocks and gently undulating landform. However other areas are dominated by settlement edge and in other places overhead power lines)
Evaluation Criteria: Integrity	Low (The area has a number of visually intrusive elements that degrade the larger scale and longer distance views. With mitigation planting and the possible undergrounding of overhead powerlines this could be radically improved but currently lowers the integrity evaluation to Low)
Evaluation Criteria: Character	Moderate (The aspect does display a fairly common character over the aspect- primarily reinforced by the similarity in agricultural management, field patterns and underlying landform, however the lack of a distinctive sense of place and identity reduces the Character evaluation to Moderate)
Evaluation Criteria: Rarity	Representative (An area of common landscape features that are found elsewhere within the study area and are not sufficiently unique or rare to justify a higher than Moderate evaluation in terms of rarity)
Evaluation Criteria: Overall Evaluation	Moderate (1 Low, 3 Moderate = Moderate)
Justification of overall evaluation	A pleasant area of varied landform and attractive mix of vegetation and agricultural field pattern but with little distinctive character or overall sense of place. Overall the aspect is very variable, with pockets of unspoilt relatively quiet countryside next to busy areas. A complex area where nothing should be taken for granted, as there have been many changes to the landscape. The aspect displays a fairly common character over the aspect area - primarily reinforced by the similarity in agricultural management, field patterns and underlying landform, however the lack of a distinctive sense of place variety in quality with a number of number of visually intrusive elements as well as some aesthetically pleasing elements such as woodland blocks and gently undulating landform reduces the overall evaluation to Moderate
Assessment	
Additional Assessments	None
Additional Comments	

[Print to PDF](#)

Landscape Habitats	
Aspect Area Name	Talwrn Grassland
Aspect Area Classification	Dry (Relatively) Terrestrial Habitats/Mosaic/Mosaic (Level 3)
Aspect Area Code	WRXHMLH031
Date Of Survey : 10/08/2007	
 Crown Copyright. All rights reserved CCW 100018813 2005	

Monitoring

Date of monitoring?	
Monitoring undertaken by	
Has the information ever been verified in the field?	No
Does this area have a special or functional link with an adjacent area?	Yes (Many small streams link through this Aspect Area to the east, in particular the River Clywedog links through into WRXHMLH033 (Erddig Parkland Mosaic).)
What is the total land area within the boundary (in hectares)?	

Description

What are the dominant soil types? (specify up to 3 types)	Brown soils Surface-water gley soils
What Phase 1 habitat types are present? Only select the five most dominant types and, for each of these, specify below what percentage of the Aspect Area is made up of these.	Semi-natural Broadleaved Woodland (4) Improved Grassland (67) Arable (5) Buildings (3) Semi-improved Neutral Grassland (4)
Does the area contain habitats of international importance?	Yes
If yes, which habitats of international importance?	Lowland hay meadows Western acidic oak woodland Alder woodland on floodplains
Does the area contain BAP habitats?	Yes
If yes, which BAP habitats?	Upland Oakwood Upland Mixed Ashwood Wet Woodland Cereal Field Margins Lowland Meadows
Does the area contain protected sites?	Yes
If yes, which ones?	Local wildlife site (Cae Plas Mostyn Bach; Mount Zion; Moss Valley; Roseburn Fields; New Broughton Meadow; Big Wood; Afon Gwenfro; Crematorium; Bronwyflla; The Smelt; Plas Mostyn Mawr; Berse Dreilincourt; Legacy Substation; Higher Berse Marsh; Gardden Fort Wood; Gardden Wood/Rocky Wood; Plas Bennion; Yew Tree Dingle; Bogbean Field; Cae Llwyd; Cae Penymynydd; New Brighton; Pen-Y-Nant, Minera; Sydalld Wood) SSSI (Stryt Las, GATEWEN MARSH)


	SAC (Johnstown Newt Sites)
Approximately what proportion of the Aspect Area is within the protected site?	11-20%
Does the area support important species?	Yes
If yes, which species? (for each of the species, also note the source of information)	This area is most notes for its population of great crested newt, <i>Triturus cristatus</i> , which is why the SAC has been designated. The newts use the ponds and waste ground surrounding Johnstown, as do other commoner amphibian insect and bird species. CCW records and the previous survey record a very large number of different bat species using this area. Within the streams otter, <i>Lutra lutra</i> : water vole, <i>Arvicola terrestris</i> and several bird species such as linnet, <i>Carduelis cannabina</i> are recorded from the area. There are also other specific records for certain areas which we have not space to cover in this report.
Are there any significant threat species present in abundance? (Field visit required)	Not known
What other features significantly influence the biodiversity in this area?	Streams Hedgerows Veteran Trees Ponds
Are any of these features in a very good condition? (Field visit required)	Unknown
Are any of these features in a poor condition? (Field visit required)	Unknown
What are the main land management activities taking place in the area? (Field visit required)	Cultivation Stock grazing
Do any of the above appear to have an appreciable positive impact on biodiversity? (Field visit required)	
Do any of the above appear to have an appreciable negative impact on biodiversity? (Field visit required)	
Is the biodiversity in the area in any way threatened?	Not known
Are there clear opportunities to improve the biodiversity aspect of this area?	Yes (Maintaining the ponds without allowing them to silt up, also maintain and enhancing hedgerows, scrub and woodland will help enhance and preserve this important area for the many species which use it.)
Summarise the key features that define this area's biodiversity character	A very large area of mixed agriculture, mainly grassland but with some arable cropping. Fields are small to medium sized with hedges, and scattered trees, with some small woodlands. The area borders on Ruabon mountain SSSI to the west, and contains a number of SSSI's itself, and a PSAC (Stryt Las A'r Hafod), as well as a number of local wildlife sites, including woodland, wetlands, rivers and water bodies.
Evaluation	
Value	High (This mosaic of pasture, woodland and water contains a variety of habitats, and many interesting species including the largest known breeding population of great crested newts at Stryt Las A'r Hafod. It also provides a buffer to the Ruabon Mountain SSSI. The area is of high value as a landscape habitat.)
Condition	Unassessed
Trend	Unassessed
Recommendations	
Existing management	Generally Appropriate
Existing management remarks:	The small fields are mainly grazing or cut for hay and silage.
Principal management recommendations	Maintain the ponds. Enhance gappy hedges and plant woodland to aid biological connectivity.
Guideline	Immediate (Protect hydrology of the area.) Medium Term (Where hedges are gappy, replant and lay.) Medium Term (Retain potential green networks particularly ponds, hedges and scrub.)
Aspect Area Boundary	
To what level was this information site-surveyed?	Level 3
At 1:10,000, how much of the Aspect Area boundary is precise?	All
What baseline information source was used for Aspect Area boundary mapping?	Aerial photographs
If OS Data was used, what was the scale?	1:25,000
	The boundary to the east is marked by a change in slope and the A483 road which forms a hard barrier generally on this break of slope and marks a change in field pattern. To the west the boundary is formed with the upland

What is the justification for the Aspect Area boundaries?	of Eglwyseg and Ruabon mountain. To the north the upland grassland of WRXHMLH036 (Four Crosses Upland Grassland) forms the boundary. The southern boundary follows the edge of the county boundary.
Evaluation Matrix	
Evaluation Criteria: Priority Habitats	High (The area contains a small area of internationally important habitat supported by a range of locally significant habitats giving a high value overall.)
Evaluation Criteria: Significance	High (The traditional field pattern, with hedges, trees and small wooded copses plus the numerous locally significant sites and the small area with a nationally significant site gives this area a high value.)
Evaluation Criteria: Opportunity	High (With active management of the landscape, creating more ponds and more work on hedges, the biodiversity of the area would be enhanced.)
Evaluation Criteria: Expansion rates	Unassessed
Evaluation Criteria: Sensitivity	Moderate (The ponds would be sensitive to an increase in agricultural inputs.)
Evaluation Criteria: Connectivity/Cohesion	High (The small field pattern with many hedges and mature trees provides a good degree of connectivity.)
Evaluation Criteria: Habitat Evaluation	High (The small fields bounded by hedges with scattered trees, together with a number of small woods, and the very many small but significant pond site gives this area a high evaluation.)
Evaluation Criteria: Importance for key species	Outstanding (The area is of fundamental importance for the the great crested newt, Triturus cristatus, which is an internationally significant species protected under both European and UK designations. The newts use the many ponds, hedges and woodlands, and therefore the area has been graded as outstanding for species.)
Evaluation Criteria: Overall Evaluation Habitat and Species	High (A moderate to high biodiversity landscape that supports some important national and international species giving a high overall value.)
Justification of overall evaluation	This mosaic of pasture, woodland and water contains a variety of habitats, and many interesting species including the largest known breeding population of great crested newts at Stryt Las A'r Hafod. It also provides a buffer to the Ruabon Mountain SSSI. The area is of high value as a landscape habitat.
Bibliography	
List the key sources used for this assessment	Wales Vexcel photography (2006), Getmapping - Millennium Map digital aerial photography (2000). CCW - Phase 1 Habitat vector GIS data set. Wrexham County Borough Council 2004. Wrexham LANDMAP Volume 3: Biodiversity Technical Appendix (Please note BAP habitat information has largely been obtained from this survey). Countryside Council for Wales Species Information. Wrexham County Borough Council digital data set of Local Wildlife Sites.
Assessment	
Additional Assessments	A large area of small and medium sized fields, bounded by hedges with an internationally significant ponds which support a wide range of species including great crested newt, Triturus cristatus and is of high to outstanding value.
Additional Comments	

[Print to PDF](#)

Historic Landscape

Aspect Area Name	Gardden
Aspect Area Classification	Rural environment/Agricultural/Irregular Fieldscapes (Level 3)
Aspect Area Code	WRXHMHL023
Date Of Survey : 06/11/2007	



Crown Copyright. All rights reserved CCW 100018813 2005

Monitoring

Has the information ever been verified in the field?	No
Does this area have a special or functional link with an adjacent area?	No

Description


If Classification is "Other", specify here	
Summary Description / Key Patterns and Elements	A mixed irregular predominantly hedged fieldscape lying between Rhoslanerchrugog and Ruabon, much of which results from the reclamation of former collieries (Plas Isa, Plas Benion, Christionydd, Tatham, Bryn Issa, Wynne Hall), brick and tile works (Plas y Waen, Delph, Acrefair, Penbdeu, Plas Isaf), and an explosives works (Plas Bennion)... A handful of larger post medieval farmhouses survive on the fringes of the area and the northern and only un-industrialised part, around Gardden, contains an Iron Age hillfort...
If working at level 3, the classification describes the dominant historic pattern, but which other patterns are important to the historical pattern of this area? (Tick all that apply)	Woodland Reclaimed land Processing/Manufacturing
Which traditional boundary types prevail in the area? (Tick all that apply)	Hedgerow Hedgerow With Trees
What is the nature of any significant archaeological interest in the area? (Tick all that apply)	Buried-dry Relict-Earthworks Buildings & Structures Documentary
Which chronological period is dominant in the area?	Prehistoric Medieval (to 1536) Post Medieval (1536+) Recent
Has a Historic Landscape Characterisation been undertaken here?	No
Are there SMR sites here?	Yes
Are there SAMs here?	Yes
Are there Listed Buildings here?	Yes
Are there Registered Historic Parks and Gardens here?	No
Are there Conservation Areas here?	No
Are there World Heritage Sites here?	No
Is the area within a Registered Landscape of Historic Interest?	No

Evaluation

Value:	Moderate
Condition:	

Trend:	
Recommendations	
Existing management	
Existing management remarks:	
Principal management recommendations	
Guideline	
Aspect Area Boundary	
To what level was this information site-surveyed?	Level 3
At 1:10,000, how much of the Aspect Area boundary is precise?	All
What baseline information source was used for Aspect Area boundary mapping?	OS Raster
If OS Data was used, what was the scale?	1:10,000
What is the justification for the Aspect Area boundaries?	An area of irregular fields bounded on three sides by Ruabon-Rhosllanerchrugog and on the fourth by the distinctive Trefechan landscape
Evaluation Matrix	
Evaluation Criteria: Integrity	Low (See overall justification.)
Evaluation Criteria: Survival	N/A (See overall justification.)
Evaluation Criteria: Condition	Moderate (See overall justification.)
Evaluation Criteria: Rarity	Representative (See overall justification.)
Evaluation Criteria: Potential	Moderate (See overall justification.)
Evaluation Criteria: Overall Evaluation	Moderate (See overall justification.)
Justification of overall evaluation	An area of which the majority is reclaimed from 19th century colliery and other industrial workings, although it does contain a hillfort which slightly increases its potential and overall value.
Bibliography	
List the key sources used for this assessment	For full bibliography see Wrexham LANDMAP Updated Historic Landscape Aspect, Technical Report, 2007 individual site details drawn from CPAT Regional Historic Environment Record
Assessment	
Additional Assessments	
Additional Comments	

[Print to PDF](#)

Geological Landscape		
Aspect Area Name	Delph	
Aspect Area Classification	Lowland hills and valleys/Lowland glacial and fluvioglacial depositional terrain/Other (Level 3)	
Aspect Area Code	WRXHMGL014	
Date Of Survey : 03/04/2007		

Monitoring

Has the information ever been verified in the field?	No
Does this area have a special or functional link with an adjacent area?	Yes (Forms lowest gentle slopes between Ruabon etc massif and Cheshire Plain, etc below (includes WRXHMGL014 and 121).)

Description


If Classification is "Other", specify here	Glaciodepositional topography/ veneer
What is the geographical and topographical character of this area?	Relatively gentle slopes at the base of the SE end of the Ruabon-Esclusham Mountain massif between Acrefai and Pen-y-cae. Surface dominated by glacial clays (Quaternary: Pleistocene) forming a veneer over Upper Carboniferous "Coal Measures". Characterised by extensive traces of former coal mining including shafts and tips and site of large opencast, now restored.
Where drift dominated, what is the dominant drift deposit?	Glacial
Where drift dominated, what is the major sediment that characterises the area?	Boulder clay/till
What is the characteristic Level 3 component of the area?	Other (Glaciodepositional topography/ veneer)
Which of the following is a significant contributor to the geological character of the area?	Stratigraphic formation(s) ("Coal Measures ", Bettisfield Formation [UPPER CARBONIFEROUS (PENNSYLVANIAN), WESTPHALIAN: LANGSETTIAN- BOLSOVIAN] (mainly worked out at surface). [N.B. Carboniferous stratigraphical terminology has been revised but not implemented on published mapping (see Waters and Davies 2006 British Geological Survey Research Report, RR/05/06 for details)]) Superficial deposits (Till dominated with minor glacial/fluvioglacial sand and gravel in N (QUATERNARY: PLEISTOCENE (DEVENSIAN)).) Active processes (Stream.) Past processes (Glacial.)
What active geological and geomorphological processes are significant in this area?	Stream.
What Level 4 components are notable in this area?	Lake / reservoir (artificial) Slope Opencast mine, gravel or sand pit Coal / mineral spoil tips Engineered topography

Are there any pedological processes that are significant in the area or have had a landscape forming effect?	Not known
Are there components of significant hydrological importance?	Yes (Stream.)
Is there current mineral extraction?	No
Has there been mineral extraction in the past?	Yes (Coal.)
Are there SSSI/GCR sites here?	No
Are there geological SINC, 2nd tier, or RIGS sites in the area?	No
Evaluation	
Value	Moderate (No regionally significant sites/ landforms noted during present survey and geology/ geomorphology considered to be typical of feature/ process and is either widespread, better exposed elsewhere or not currently known to be exceptional.)
Condition	Fair (Dominantly rural area with limited significant development, although topography modifies by restored former coal opencast.)
Trend	Constant (Dominantly rural area with limited significant development, although topography modifies by restored former coal opencast.)
Recommendations	
Existing management	Generally Appropriate
Existing management remarks:	Dominantly rural area with limited significant development, although topography modifies by restored former coal opencast. Aspect Area lies wholly or partly within the proposed extension to the Clwydian Hills AONB (2008).
Principal management recommendations	Ensure that no significant geological or geomorphological features are lost or damaged (e.g. due to development, forestry, etc) and that surviving historical mine workings are conserved and assessed for their geological value (e.g. resource within tip heaps).
Guideline	Medium Term (Ensure that surviving historical mine workings are conserved and assessed for their geological value (e.g. resource within tip heaps) and designated as RIGS if appropriate.) Long Term (Ensure that no significant geological or geomorphological features are lost or damaged (e.g. due to development, forestry, etc).)
Tolerance To Change	
Are there any significant threats to the current integrity and condition of the Earth Heritage features of the area?	Yes (Loss of surviving historical mine workings. Ensure that they are assessed for their geological value (e.g. resource within tip heaps) and designated as RIGS as appropriate.)
Aspect Area Boundary	
To what level was this information site-surveyed?	Level 3
At 1:10,000, how much of the Aspect Area boundary is precise?	Most (Aspect Area boundaries surveyed at 1:10,000, mapped at 1:25,000.)
What baseline information source was used for Aspect Area boundary mapping?	Other (1:50,000 British Geological Survey maps, 1:10,000 aerial photographs (as stereo pairs), OS 1:25,000 Landranger topographical map.)
If OS Data was used, what was the scale?	1:25,000
What is the justification for the Aspect Area boundaries?	Base of steeper slopes above and margins of incised stream valleys, urban areas, etc.
Evaluation Matrix	
Evaluation Criteria: Research Value	Moderate (No regionally significant sites/ landforms noted during present survey and geology/ geomorphology considered to be typical of feature/ process and is either widespread, better exposed elsewhere or not currently known to be exceptional.)
Evaluation Criteria: Educational Value	Moderate (No regionally significant sites/ landforms noted during present survey although disused mines of uncertain value present.)
Evaluation Criteria: Historical Value	Moderate (No regionally significant sites/ landforms noted during present survey although disused mines of uncertain value present.)
Evaluation Criteria: Rarity / Uniqueness	Low (No regionally significant sites/ landforms noted during present survey and geology/ geomorphology considered to be typical of feature/ process and is either widespread, better exposed elsewhere or not currently known to be exceptional.)
Evaluation Criteria: Classic	Low (No regionally significant sites/ landforms noted during present survey although disused mines of

Example	uncertain value present.)
Evaluation Criteria: Overall Evaluation	Moderate (No regionally significant sites/ landforms noted during present survey and geology/ geomorphology considered to be typical of feature/ process and is either widespread, better exposed elsewhere or not currently known to be exceptional.)
Justification of overall evaluation	No regionally significant sites/ landforms noted during present survey and geology/ geomorphology considered to be typical of feature/ process and is either widespread, better exposed elsewhere or not currently known to be exceptional.
Bibliography	
List the key sources used for this assessment	BRITISH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY (1994), Wrexham (Sheet 121); MALPAS, J.(2007), Clwydian Range Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, Local Geodiversity Action Plan (AHNE Brynlan Clwd / Clwydian Range AONB, NEWRIGS, CCW); ORDANCE SURVEY (2000), Explorer 256 (1:25,000 scale); ROBERTS, R. (2004), Earth Science Technical Annex. In: Wrexham LANDMAP: Volume 2. (WCB and CCW); WEDD, C.B. et al. (1927-1928), Memoirs of the Geological Survey, Sheet 121 (Wrexham).
Assessment	
Additional Assessments	N/A
Additional Comments	

[Print to PDF](#)

Trying to Convert URL : http

Cultural Landscape	
Aspect Area Name	Historic industrial areas
Aspect Area Classification	Influences/Material expressions/Industrial/Other Industry (specify) (Level 4)
Aspect Area Code	WRXHMCL024
Date Of Survey : 02/10/2006	
	

Monitoring

Has the information ever been verified in the field?	Yes (1/10,000)
Does this area have a special or functional link with an adjacent area?	Yes (In particular with 25 to 28 as the areas associated with the historic areas of industrialisation now under consideration for World Heritage Status; a more general link might be argued with 23 and 29, the parkland landscapes, as showing the patrician initiatives which drove both ornamental and industrial landscapes.)

Description

If Classification is "Other", specify here	Although several "Industrial" classifications might be considered appropriate here, it needs to be pointed out that it is historic industry and its associated settlement, as well as industrial continuity (the Flexsys plant) that is germane here.
The classification at level 3 describes the dominant cultural context, but which other contexts are important to the cultural landscape of this area?	Rural Industrial Urban Infrastructure Places People
Which level 4 classes are particularly significant to the cultural landscape character of this area - Influences?	Agricultural Minerals & Mining Power Generation & Distribution Heavy Industry Other Industry (specify) (Historic industrial landscapes, also quarrying.) Light Industry & Technology Trade, Retail & Commercial Urban Settlement Communications & Transport
Which level 4 classes are particularly significant to the cultural landscape character of this area - Associations?	Sense of Place Famous People Music Film
To what extent do the context and level 4 details selected contribute to the cultural identity, local distinctiveness or sense of place of the area?	Very Strong (This is very manifestly an historic area, immediately adjacent to one of the world's engineering marvels. The possibility that the Fron Male Voice Choir might be the subject of a Hollywood film might change the situation.)
To what extent is the cultural information widely recognised or appreciated?	Specialist (Despite the great interest that has been shown in the Pont Cysyllte aqueduct (26), the all-important industrial hinterland which served it has been ignored. Again, the possible Hollywood film could help.)
Are there any artistic expressions that are particularly famous or associated with the Aspect Area?	Yes (The Fron Male Voice Choir, and the possibility that a film might be made about their activities.)
Are there any people / movements / institutions that are particularly famous or associated	Yes (Telford and Jessop, as builders of the railway system which served the canal and on which the streetscape of Cefn is based.)

with the Aspect Area?	
Is there any folklore or are there legends that are particularly famous or associated with the Aspect Area?	Not known
Are there any events/traditions that are particularly famous or associated with the Aspect Area?	Yes (First run of coal train through Cefn to the wharf on the opening day of the aqueduct in 1805.)
Are there any technical / scientific discoveries that are particularly famous or associated with the Aspect Area?	Yes (The developments in iron-founding at the Plas Kynaston foundry which now forms part of the Flexsys site, originally established to construct the aqueduct.)
What are the attributes of the cultural elements in the Aspect Area?	Mixed
What chronological periods are culturally dominant in the area?	Post 1950 Victorian & Edwardian Georgian Late Medieval
Are there certain place-names in the area that are particularly significant?	No
If yes, give examples of the place-names and their significance	N/a N/a N/a
Summary Description: (no more than 150 words)	This aspect area has been designated on the basis of its historic contribution to the industrial economy associated with the aqueduct. It is a landscape of iron-founding and rolling, of settlement, of coal- and clay-mining and of ceramic production.
Evaluation	
Value:	Outstanding (Of international value as the immediate hinterland of Telford's engineering marvel, the Pont Cysyllte aqueduct.)
Condition:	Poor (This landscape is still, essentially, unappreciated and little understood. It is at risk both from neglect and from inappropriate development.)
Trend:	Improving (Improving in some respect in that potential has been recognised.)
Recommendations	
Existing management:	Generally Inappropriate
Existing management remarks:	There are recent and encouraging signs that Wrexham County Borough Council has understood the priorities of this area as part of the process of sponsoring the first stages of the World Heritage bid; however, until very recent times, the historic landscape has been misunderstood and subject to inappropriate palliatives.
Principal management recommendations	Appropriate and considered management of this area as a settlement, and as historic industrial landscape and as a potential World Heritage site or World Heritage buffer zone. Note that this document does not constitute in any way a suggestion for the outline of the WH site or of the buffer zone.
Guideline	Immediate (Historic assets audit.) Medium Term (Considered amelioration of built environment.) Long Term (Appropriate management in the light of possible World Heritage status.)
Tolerance To Change	
Are there any significant threats to the current integrity and condition of the Cultural Landscape features of the area?	Yes (The running-down of the Flexsys operations will have a serious impact on the economy of the area and will create a further "post-industrial" management challenge. The availability of grant-aid and economic amelioration may also led to attrition of the landscape and the built heritage. It is far from clear that the adaptation of the chapel at Cefn by building a plate-glass modernist atrium is in any sense "good idea" or will help achieve World Heritage status.)
Aspect Area Boundary	
To what level was this information site-surveyed?	Level 4
At 1:10,000, how much of the Aspect Area boundary is precise?	Some (The boundaries of this locality could have been drawn in several very different ways. The boundaries were chosen in order to define the historic industrial hinterland of the aqueduct that are not already a Register historic landscape.)
What baseline information source was used for Aspect Area boundary mapping?	OS Raster
If OS Data was used, what was the scale?	1:10,000
What is the justification for the Aspect Area boundaries?	As set out in 27, the boundaries of this locality could have been drawn in several very different ways. The boundaries were chosen in order to define the historic industrial hinterland of the aqueduct that are not already a Register historic landscape. it is considered that management of this area needs to be driven by the World Heritage bid and that therefore this area formed a culturally homogenous zone even though it is made up of very different types of historic environment.
Evaluation Matrix	
Evaluation Criteria: Recognition/transparency	Local recognition (The importance of this area has been little appreciated and by the criteria by which it should be considered Outstanding little understood.)
Evaluation Criteria: Period	Strongly apparent (Outstanding as an industrial landscape grated onto an earlier, farming landscape, eg places such as Plas Bennion; as an industrial landscape of the canal era, and of the railway era.)
Evaluation Criteria: Rarity	Very rare (Outstanding as one of the few areas that articulates the evolution of a particular type of coal- and iron-based technology so clearly.)

Evaluation Criteria: Documentation	Substantial (There are several good local histories.)
Evaluation Criteria: Group Value	Exceptional (For its group relationship with the landscapes particularly of 25-28 but also of 23 and 29.)
Evaluation Criteria: Survival	N/A (- despite some recent losses and a long history of economic decline.)
Evaluation Criteria: Vulnerability	Tolerant (- highly vulnerable (paradoxically) to both economic decline and to economic amelioration.)
Evaluation Criteria: Diversity	Complex (High (though not outstanding) in that it is a one-theme landscape (albeit a very powerful and eloquent one) that does show the longue duree, relationship between industrialisation and the pre-existing and surrounding landscapes of farming and pleasure.)
Evaluation Criteria: Potential	Exceptional (- as a potential World Heritage site or buffer zone.)
Evaluation Criteria: Overall Evaluation	Outstanding (- as an historic industrial landscape.)
Justification of overall evaluation	Its close association with the single great engineering marvel is justification enough, but this is also an important landscape in its own right, part of the social, commercial, economic and technical system that made the canal and the aqueduct possible and necessary.
Bibliography	
List the key sources used for this assessment	Ifor Edwards, "The British Iron Company", DHST 1982; Hubbard E: The Buildings of Wales: Clwyd (Denbighshire and Flintshire) (Penguin Books, University of Wales Press, 1994); Lewis S: Topographical Dictionary of Wales (London, 1838); archival sources.
Assessment	
Additional Assessments	Successful management of this area will, should be the World heritage bid be successful, stand as an exemplar of the appropriate regeneration of a small community.
Additional Comments	

[Print to PDF](#)