

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/H6955/A/14/2229577

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference	APP/H6955/A/14/2229577
Appeal By	CASTLEMEAD GROUP LTD
Site Address	Land at Pont Adam Crescent Ruabon Wrexham LL14 6EG Grid Ref Easting: 3296300 Grid Ref Northing: 3437190

SENDER DETAILS

Name	MRS LISA KELLY
------	----------------

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☒ Appellant
☐ Agent
☐ Interested Party / Person
☐ Land Owner
☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
☐ Proof of Evidence
☒ Statement
☐ Statement of Common Ground
☐ Written Statement of Evidence
☐ Interested Party/Person Correspondence
☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

My Objections to the proposed 49 houses at Pont Adam Crescent are below:

- 1) Heavy traffic already present during busy commuter and school times both morning and afternoon. I would like to point out that the afternoon congestion is not outlined in the appeal. Another 49 dwellings will add to the chaos and cause grid lock.
- 2) The plot of land proposed for another 49 houses is indeed too small and has a very steep gradient. Is it suitable for this type of build?
- 3) I invite the Welsh Assembly Government to reside in Pont Adam Crescent to experience the TRUE day to day living and not make judgement on a flat plan, with figures and sums that do not reflect the true circumstances.
- 4) How do we know that the figures are a true representation of the current traffic flow? The traffic counter placed on the road was Monday 23rd June - during school exam time. This is false data and not representative on a day to day basis. Also, what is this data compared against? Similar rural areas or bigger cities?
- 5) The plan submitted doesn't show the narrowness of the road and the severity of the blind bends. Nor does it take in to account the amount of parked cars on the road by present residents, making it even harder to pass.
- 6) These proposed plans have been quashed over many decades now, does that not stand for anything?
- 7) The church is shared by two faiths, which makes it a very busy place on the corner of Church Street for weddings, funerals and christenings. All of these important life events are progressively taking place more and more during the week and not just on weekends for the people who live within this community.

COMMENT DOCUMENTS

The documents listed below were uploaded with this form:

Relates to Section: REPRESENTATION

Document Description: Your comments on the appeal.

File name: Children in street - showing little pavement.jpg

File name: Chuch Street Junction.jpg

File name: School children walking to school - lack of pavement.jpg

File name: Church Street congestion 2.jpg

File name: Tight to the pavement and yellow line.jpg

File name: Tight squeeze. Cars pulling over onto pavement and over yellow line. Red car in distance parked on the road.jpg

File name: Squeeze through at Church Street.jpg

File name: Congestion.jpg

File name: Car parked on left, whilst two cars are trying to pass in narrow part of Church Street.jpg

File name: Just enough room for the bus.jpg

File name: Church Street congestion 4.jpg

File name: Busy little street.jpg

File name: Not parked, but waiting to get out of junction.jpg

PLEASE ENSURE THAT A COPY OF THIS SHEET IS ENCLOSED WHEN POSTING THE ABOVE DOCUMENTS TO US