

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable for it. This can be found in the notification letter sent out by the local planning authority or the start date letter for it.

Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Case Reference: **APP/H6955/A/14/2218276**

Address of site

Part of Field West of Commonwood Farm
and South of Borrass Road
Commonwood, Holt
Wrexham

Postcode

LL13 9TF

Grid Reference: Easting

3373620

Northing

3534910

SENDER DETAILS

Name

Mr Ian Southwell

Acting On Behalf Of

N/A

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case? Interested Party / Person

What kind of representation are you making? Interested Party/Person Correspondence

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

Please provide your representation below.

To clarify my position, I live on the junction of Buck Road and Borrass Road along the proposed entry route to the site in question. The junction is approx 400m east of the site entrance. I believe there is a significant safety issue with regards to the increased traffic volume and available road network system to the proposed site. It was highlighted by the Highways department with a request for more information. As yet no one has mentioned the fact that the junction of Buck road, leading to Borrass road west, is a blind junction on a narrow unclassified road with a 60mph speed limit. There are presently, numerous agricultural vehicles which use this road / junction not to mention occasional HGV's which already create a backlog of traffic and damage to verges while attempting to negotiate the narrow roads. Bring into the mix that Borrass Road is a busy cycle way with in excess of 50 cyclists per day at weekends, which also has yet to be mentioned, then it is fairly obvious even to a casual observer the potential for serious accidents. At the very least, a detailed traffic survey needs to be carried out over a prolonged period to assess the feasibility of the proposed access. My second concern is that of potential flooding. While it was correctly stated by the applicant

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE (Continued)

that this area is not within a designated flood area, it was not mentioned that the field run offs, one of which runs behind this property and one in front, empty into a designated flood area at approximately 1 mile distant. There is certainly potential for disruption to these run offs and thus causing an increase to the size of the area of local flooding. Incorporated into this concern would be the speed in which any pollution incident would spread through this network of run offs and into the river systems. I thought it was a little too casually mentioned that excess water / chemical would simply soak away.