

Susan Davies

From: Sharon Williams
Sent: 25 April 2013 13:47
To: Susan Davies
Subject: FW: Town and Country Planning Act 1990 Section 78 appeal at Worthenbury Arms, Church Street, Worthenbury, Wrexham

Please file as third party representations for P/2012/0752

From: Parkyn, Tim [<mailto:Tim.Parkyn@cytec.com>]
Sent: 25 April 2013 13:43
To: wales@pins.gsi.gov.uk
Cc: Sharon Williams
Subject: Town and Country Planning Act 1990 Section 78 appeal at Worthenbury Arms, Church Street, Worthenbury, Wrexham

Dear Sir or Madam,

I am writing with regard to the planning appeal detailed below

Town and Country Planning Act 1990
Section 78 Appeal at: , THE WORTHENBURY ARMS, CHURCH STREET, WORTHENBURY, WREXHAM

I would urge you not to allow this appeal, for reasons of public safety and village harmony

I fully accept that, by various ploys, the applicant has managed to go back on any of his original planning commitments and that now the village pub is just a memory.

However, the greed and lack of care in this proposal should not be permitted.

Five dwellings can reasonably be expected to introduce 10 cars into the village. There simply is not the parking space to allow this. I know that on his second revision the applicant has drawn the lines of the imagined car park closer together – this is not practical. The cars will need to be driven into the car park, not dropped by crane.

The reality of the situation is that the cars will be parked on the main road at the exit of a blind corner, on the opposite side of the road to where many people already park. Alternatively they will park in Broughton Crescent, either along a narrow road or in the parking spaces for the old peoples bungalows.

The traffic flow through Worthenbury is frequently stopped by the current parking arrangements, albeit momentarily. With a further restriction in the road the situation will be critical and create an endangerment to pedestrians.

A more reasonable plan is to create two dwellings. This would reduce the congestion and would fit in some way with the original consents which was for half of the building to be a dwelling and half a pub. Although the applicant has received concessions firstly to build on the old car park before converting the pub and secondly to abandon the restoration of the pub I see no reason why this new alteration should be allowed and would be very grateful if you would consider this point of view in your deliberations.

If you wish to discuss this please use the mobile number below. In case of a site visit I would be happy to attend.

Regards
Tim Parkyn

EU Process Engineering Manager

Cytec Engineered Materials

Tel: 01978 665215

Mob: 07740 836510

Legal Notice: This electronic communication, including any attachments, contains information from Cytec Industries Inc. or its subsidiaries that may be legally privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, any use or dissemination of this communication or its attachment(s) is strictly prohibited and may be illegal. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately, destroy any printed copies and delete it from all computers on which it may be stored.

Cytec Engineered Materials Limited, registered in England and Wales with number 2851421.
Registered office Abenbury Way, Wrexham Industrial Estate, Wrexham, LL13 9UZ