

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable for it. This can be found in the notification letter sent out by the local planning authority or the start date letter for it.

Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Case Reference: **APP/H6955/A/12/2190150**

Address of site Eversley, Pen y Palmant Road
Minera
Wrexham

Postcode

LL11 3YW

Grid Reference: Easting

3270897

Northing

3520602

SENDER DETAILS

Name

Mrs Frieda Leech

Acting On Behalf Of

N/A

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case? Interested Party / Person

What kind of representation are you making? Interested Party/Person Correspondence

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

Please provide your representation below.

The comments Mr and Mrs Johnson have made in their letter to WCBC Planning Dept. on 10 November 2012 states that in respect of planning permission being granted for my property in 1984 that 'no obstruction to visibility exceeding 1 metre shall be planted within a distance of 2.4 metres from the existing carriageway' and goes on to state that he acknowledges that is difficult to negotiate into from the left because I have planted a hedge. I have never maintained that I have difficulty negotiating my property from the left, as far as I am concerned there is no difficulty whatsoever turning into my property. He also states that I now approach my property from the opposite direction. That is also untrue. My approach to my property depends on where I have been!! For the record the hedge in question is part of the original hedge, an amenity totally in keeping with the rural environment. It may have grown a little but as it is cut when appropriate is a very pleasing part of Pen y Palmant Road.

In respect of the comments about the driveway it has been constructed as per the planning committee's requirements. The only thing I have done since my husband's death in 2005 was to

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE (Continued)

fit gates and a fence in the original position.

The trees on my land to which he refers in response to his letter to WCBC dated 28 November 2012 after a TPO was placed on a copper beech on his land, were felled after consultation with the Local Authority because they were (a) diseased (b) poor specimens and (c) one was blown down in a gale.

I also fail to see what relevance the fact that my daughters lived at home in 1997 (only one did actually) or that my late husband and I had a caravan has to do with his appeal.

I do however wish to state that I have never had an objection to Mr and Mrs Johnson building houses on their land, my objection is to the increased volume of traffic on what will in effect be a narrow estate road and the access and egress onto Pen y Palmant drive on the sharp bend.