

Gail White

From: Simon Greenland
Sent: 29 May 2012 11:41
To: planning_admin
Subject: P 2012 0145

Consultation response- highways, for importing please

From: Phil Palmer
Sent: 29 May 2012 11:26
To: Simon Greenland
Subject: RE: P 2012 0145

Simon

Visited site yesterday and spoke with Mr. Painter.

He is looking at widening his access to 5.0m and setting any gates back 10m behind adjoining carriageway. He is also looking to surface his access with bitmac.

The required 2.4 x 215 m splay required in the north westerly direction requires removal of existing fence posts, lowering of new fence posts to 1.0m, lowering / removal of section of hedgerow (section belonging to applicant and section belonging to adjoining landowner) and an agreement to look across a section of the adjoining field.

The applicant has advised me today that the adjoining landowner is **not** prepared to enter into any legal agreement with Mr. Painter to ensure that this splay can be protected in perpetuity. I assume such an agreement would be via a s.106 agreement.

Mr. Painter is now considering relocating his access (further south east) in order to provide 2.4 x 215m spalys in both directions which would hopefully not rely on crossing over 3rd party land. I have suggested that he discusses his proposals with you and suggested that he asks his agent to produce a revised layout plan detailing his proposed access and how visibility will be provided in both directions in accordance with TAN 18 requirements.

Regards, Phil

From: Simon Greenland
Sent: 25 May 2012 12:39
To: Phil Palmer
Subject: P 2012 0145

for your response please.

Thanks

simon